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Abstract
The EU-EFIGE/Bruegel-Unicredit comparable dataset consisting of firms operating in Austria, France, Germany, Italy, Hungary, Spain, and the UK was used for the empirical analysis. To cover the gaps in the existing literature, the study under review investigates the effects of access to credit and financial incentives on firms’ export performance during the period of the 2008 global financial crisis. This includes examining the moderating roles of firm size and financial development on the link between access to credit or financial incentives and export performance.
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This paper reviews Okafor L. E, Bhattacharya, M and Apergis, N’s (2020) evidence that access to bank credit, public financial incentives, and tax incentives during the 2008 Global Financial Crisis (GFC) led to improved export performance. Before this study, the strand of literature that relates to finance and export performance used different proxies for credit to capture financial constraints (Muûls, 2015; Wagner, 2012, 2014). As a result, the issue of whether access to financial incentives, such as public financial incentives or financial tax incentives helps to promote export performance was unexplored. Furthermore, the issue of whether financial development improves export performance or whether the effects of access to credit and financial incentives differ by firm size was not explored. In the context of the study under review, the degree of access to external capital is used as a proxy variable to capture financial development (Okafor et al., 2020).

The EU-EFIGE/Bruegel-Unicredit comparable dataset consisting of firms operating in Austria, France, Germany, Italy, Hungary, Spain, and the UK was used for the empirical analysis (Altomonte & Aquilante, 2012; Altomonte, Aquilante, & Ottaviano, 2012).

Okafor L. E, Bhattacharya, M and Apergis, N’s (2020) study made important contributions to the strand of literature dealing with the interplay between finance and export performance. First, unlike previous studies that used credit as a proxy for financial constraint, the study under review used both public financial incentives and tax financial incentives in addition to credit to ascertain the effects of credit market frictions on export performance during a crisis period. Second, the study demonstrates that the influence of access to credit or public financial incentives varies with the level of financial development during the crisis period. Third, the study shows that the effects of public financial incentives or financial tax incentives on export performance differ by firm size.
In general, the findings of the study show that firms that obtained credit or benefited from public financial incentives or financial tax incentives in the previous period exhibited better export performance during the 2008 global financial crisis compared to those firms that did not. Access to a credit or financial incentives has a significant positive impact on export performance as measured by either export intensity or the number of product lines exported to a firm’s top three destination countries during the crisis period.

The endogenous treatment effects (ETE) estimator was used in the study to address potential endogenous issues. Endogeneity bias may arise from omitted variables. For instance, financial institutions have access to more information about firms and thus know more about the efficiency of firms compared to researchers working with a limited set of information for each firm (Contessi & De Nicola, 2012). Also, treatment status, such as a financial incentive indicator, is not random. This is because older, larger, and more experienced firms are more likely to apply for bank loans or financial incentives given their better resources, networks and competences compared to younger, smaller and less experienced firms (Banno & Sgobbi, 2010; Duguet, 2004; Okafor et al., 2020). The ETE estimator makes it possible for one to estimate the causal effect of receiving treatment, such as obtaining credit or benefiting from financial incentives, on treatment outcome, such as export performance, using observational data (Okafor et al., 2020; StataCorp, 2017; Wooldridge, 2009). The use of the ETE estimator allowed the researchers to obtain reliable estimates of access to credit or financial incentives on export performance during the crisis period.

The findings of the study have important policy implications, especially during a financial crisis period. Policies that improve firms’ access to credit and financial incentives during the periods of credit frictions, such as the 2008 GFC, can help to promote export competitiveness. In general, export competitive countries during a financial crisis are better placed to minimize negative shocks to employment, output, and economic growth compared to those that are not. Furthermore, the findings from the study suggest that financial development is important for enhancing export competitiveness. Policies that promote financial sector development can help to enhance export performance during the period of a financial crisis (Okafor et al., 2020).

The findings of the study under review call for further investigation of the role of finance in stimulating international competitiveness. For instance, studies from the strand of literature that deals with firm behavior and international trade suggests that the use of imported inputs or imported capital improves firm productivity, especially for firms that have the requisite level of absorptive capacity (Kasahara & Rodrigue, 2008; Okafor, Bhattacharya, & Bloch, 2017; Yasar, 2013). The use of imported inputs or capital in the production process allows firms to improve productivity through learning from knowledge embodied in foreign inputs, foreign capital, or imitating foreign technologies.

Tourism is an important industry in several countries. This is because, it contributes to employment generation, income creation for many individuals, a source of foreign exchange earnings, and help to promote economic growth (Khalid, Okafor, & Aziz, 2019; Khalid, Okafor, & Shafiullah, 2019; Okafor, Khalid, & Then, 2018; Shafiullah, Okafor, & Khalid, 2019). The tourism industry, however, is highly vulnerable to negative shocks resulting from the financial crisis. Therefore, future studies could explore whether tourism businesses that obtained credit or benefited from financial incentives provided by the public sector during the 2008 global financial crisis exhibited better export performance compared to those that did not.

In conclusion, access to loanable funds for investment during the period of crisis is important for an economy to withstand the negative effect of
the economic downturn (Campello, Graham, & Harvey, 2010; Okafor & Tyrowicz, 2009, 2010). It would be interesting for future studies to investigate whether firms that obtained credit or benefited from financial incentives during the crisis period exhibited different investment behavior compared to those that did not.
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