

Investigating Employee Trust and Concerns in AI-Powered HR Systems in Recruitment, Training, and Performance

OPEN ACCESS

S. Nithya Priya

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Management Cherraan's Arts Science College, Thittuparai, Tirupur

Special Issue: 1

Volume: 13

Month: March

Year: 2025

E-ISSN: 2582-6190

Citation:
Nithya Priya, S.
"Investigating
Employee Trust and
Concerns in AIPowered HR Systems in
Recruitment, Training,
and Performance."
ComFin Research,
vol. 13, no. S1-i2,
2025, pp. 111–17.

DOI:

https://doi.org/10.34293/ commerce.v13iS1-i2.8746 Abstract

Human resources are essential to the accomplishment of any organization, as the skills and abilities of employees are key factors in business growth and performance. Efficient recruitment, training, and performance evaluation are indispensable in helping organizations to preserve their competitiveness and attain sustained success over the long term. Artificial Intelligence (AI), an advanced technology, has the possesses the capability to transform human resources procedures by automating routine tasks, offering insights based on data, and making decision making more objective. Artificial Intelligence technologies, include particularly in predictive modelling, automated learning models, and language models are helping to enhance traditional human resource practices by rendering them more tailored, effective, and equitable. This study examines how employees perceive AI in HR processes, focusing on recruitment, training, and performance evaluation. It also looks at employees' concerns about the confidentiality and safekeeping of their personal information in Artificial Intelligence powered systems. The data for this research were collected through questionnaires filled out by IT employees in Coimbatore city, Tamil Nadu. The findings show that while employees acknowledge the possibleassistances of AI, including greater effectiveness and objectivity, they are concerned about how their personal data is handled and the ethical issues that connected to AI decisions. This study emphasizes the significance of tackling privacy and ethical concerns when using AI in HR processes. The results provide useful consideration for HR specialists and those involved in policymaking, offering guidance for utilizing the advantages of Artificial Intelligence whereas maintaining employee trust, transparency, and privacy protection.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Employees Perception, Performance Management System, AI in HRM, Human Resource Processes.

Introduction

Over the decades, Human Resource Management (HRM) has seen substantial evolution. In the past, HRM was largely administrative, focusing on personnel management, payroll processing, and compliance with labour laws. The introduction of technology in HR began with simple database systems, which later evolved into Human Resource Information Systems (HRIS). Today, with rapid advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI), HRM has shifted toward decision making based on data analysis, predictive analytics, and automation, enhancing efficiency and strategic workforce planning.

AI-powered HR frameworks are revolutionizing enrollment, preparing, and execution administration. The utilization of machine learning calculations empowers the investigation of resumes and the recognizable proof of appropriate candidates for particular positions, and decrease enlisting inclinations (Artar et al.). AI-driven chatbots help in replying worker questions, and virtual preparing colleagues give personalized learning experiences(Veera et al.). Also, Analytics driven by fake intelligenceprovide help forthe execution assessment by recognizing aptitude crevices and prescribing career advancement programs.

Despite these advantages, AI integration in HRM moreover presents challenges. A basic issue lies within the domain information security and security, especially as counterfeit Insights frameworks handle broad of worker information, driving to fears of information abuse. In addition, the ethical repercussions of choice affected by fake insights counting reasonableness, straightforwardness, and the chance of algorithmic predispositions, have raised concerns among representatives. Representatives frequently express distrust regarding AI's capacity to form impartial choices, particularly in ranges like execution assessment, where subjective components play a critical role (Dwianto et al.).

The Extent of the Research

This research investigates on how representatives see the utilize of Counterfeit Insights (AI) in HR forms, centering on enlisting work force, staff preparing, and conducting execution audit. It investigates both the benefits, such as productivity and objectivity, and the concerns, counting information protection and moral issues. The ponder is especially pertinent to IT representatives based in Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, giving suggestions for human assets master and policymakers with respect to on adjusting counterfeit insights innovation points of interest with believe and straightforwardness. The discoveries can offer assistance organizations create AI-driven HR arrangements that adjust with representative desires and industry guidelines.

Aims of the Research

- 1. To assess employees' perceptions of AI in HRM, including its impact on hiring, employee training and development, and assessment of performance management.
- 2. To identify employees' concerns regarding AI in HRM, particularly related to job security, and openness in decisionmaking process influenced by AI.
- 3. To examine privacy and ethical dilemmas linked to the implementation of artificial intelligence in HRM, including data security, privacy policies, and ethical regulation in Alpowered HR systems.
- 4. To evaluate the degree of trust among employee in AI technology in HR practices.
- 5. To provide suggestion to organizations forexecuting AI in HRM in a way that addresses employee concerns, ensures transparency, and upholds ethical and privacy standards.

Literature Review

The Progression of AI technologies within HRM

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has converted the human resource management (HRM) by enhancing analysed decisionmaking, reducing bias, and improving efficiency in recruitment, training, and performance evaluation. Traditional HR processes relied heavily on human judgment, which often led to subjectivity and inefficiencies. However, AI based HR systems use technology tools like language model, projected analysis, and computational learning model to automate duties and provide solution (L).



AI in Recruitment

Applications utilizing AI are widely used in recruitment to screen candidate applications, analyse profiles, and conduct initial interviews. Research suggests that AIbased hiring systems improve efficiency by reducing the time required for recruitment while increasing diversity and objectivity in hiring decisions(Gupta). However, concerns regarding algorithmic bias and transparency remain significant challenges (Chen).

AI in Training and Development

The use of artificial intelligence allows for customized educational experiences through the implementation of adaptive learning systems and chatbots that offer realtime guidance. Albasedtraining system use predictive analytics to assess employee progress and recommend relevant training modules. Studies show that Albased training enhances engagement and retention rates among employees, contributing to skill development and career growth (Pathak et al.).

AI in Performance Evaluation

AI tools assist in performance management by continuously monitoring employee productivity and providing objective feedback. According to a recent study, AI based performance review systems enhance employee achievement and organizational development by identifying performance trends and suggesting improvement areas. However, ethical concerns regarding AI's role in employee monitoring and privacy have sparked debates about fairness and trust in AI based evaluations (Baharm et al.).

Privacy and Ethical Concerns

While AI improves efficiency, employees' express concerns over data secrecy and transparency in AI-driven HR progressions. A study by Sadeghi found that employees worry regarding how their information is kept and used, pointed out the essential for regulatory agendas to safeguardethical AI implementation. The ethical use of artificial intelligence technology within HRM requires relevant automation with people oversight to maintain trust and fairness.

The integration of latest technology in HRM creates chances to growth and development and also challenges. While AI enhances staff hiring, skill and development, and performance appraisals, cultivate anxieties in connection with bias, confidentiality, and moral implications persist. Future examination should address on developing transparent AI models and regulatory guidelines to address these concerns, ensuring responsible AI adoption in HRM.

Methodology

Sample and Procedure

This study adopts a quantitative research approach to examine employee perceptions and concerns regarding AI-powered HR processes. A total of 41 employees participated in the study, responding to a structured questionnaire consisting of 16 questions. The study focused on three key factors: employee perceptions of artificial intelligence in HR methods, employee concerns about AI in HR process, and privacy and ethical concerns. To analyse the data, I used SPSS software and applied ranking tools to determine which factors were most concerning to employees. Data interpretation methods were applied to condense the data and followed by further examination to determine uncover meaningful relationship.In Table 1, Demographic details are presented.

Table 1 Demographic Profiles

		Frequency
	$18 \le Age \le 24$	24.4%
	25 ≤ Age ≤ 34	24.4%
Age (in years)	$35 \le Age \le 44$	34.1%
	$45 \le Age \le 54$	17.1 %
	55 ≤ Age	0%
Gender	Male	70.7 %
Gender	Female	29.3%
	Occupational proficiency (OP) < 1	12.2%
V CD C : 1	$1 \le OP \le 3$	12.2%
Years of Professional Background (in years)	$4 \le OP \le 6$	29.3%
Dackground (iii years)	$7 \le OP \le 10$	19.5%
	Over a decade	26.8%
	Initial level	24.4%
Job Level	Moderate level	46.3%
	Expert level	29.3 %

The demographic profile of the respondents gives useful insights into their backgrounds. For age, the largest group of participants (34.1%) is between 35–44 years, showing that most respondents are mid-career professionals. The 18–24 years and 25–34 years groups each make up 24.4%, indicating a good number of early-career employees. Only 17.1% of respondents are aged 45–54 years, while there are no participants above 55 years, suggesting fewer senior professionals in the sample.

Regarding gender, the study has more male participants (70.7%) than female participants (29.3%). This suggests that men are more represented in the workforce studied, which may affect their views on AI in HR processes.

In terms of work experience, the highest number of respondents (29.3%) have from 4 to 6 years of work experience, followed by more than 10 years (26.8%) and 7–10 years (19.5%). A smaller percentage (12.2%) have less than 1 year or 1–3 years of experience, showing that both experienced and new employees are included.

For job level, most respondents are at the mid-level (46.3%), followed by senior-level employees (29.3%) and entry-level employees (24.4%). This suggests that the study covers a mix of employees, but with a higher proportion in leadership roles.

Overall, the study includes a balanced sample of employees with different experience levels and job positions. However, since most participants are male, this may influence how AI in HR processes is perceived.

Measures

The study employed a structured questionnaire to measure employee perceptions, concerns, and trust in AI-powered human resource systems in recruitment, training, and performance evaluation. The questionnaire categorized into three main factors. Employee perception focused on the effectiveness, and productivity of AI in HR processes, while concerns about AI examined fears related to bias and job security. Additionally, concerns about privacy and ethics assessed employees' apprehensions regarding data security, personal information misuse, and ethical considerations. The questionnaire utilized a five level response scale.



Data Analysis and Results

Table 2 Employee Perceptions of AI in HR Processes

S. No.	Factors	Mean Score	Rank
1.	With Artificial Intelligence, hiring process become more efficient as it automates the initial scrutiny of candidates.	4.49	2
2.	AI improves fairness in hiring decisions by reducing human bias	4.27	3
3.	AI-driven training programs provide personalized learning experiences.	4.49	2
4.	AI based performance evaluation is more objective than human evaluation.	4.24	4
5.	AI implementation in HRM helps improve overall employee productivity.	4.66	1

Table 2 clearly presents the ranking analysis of AI factors in HRM, providing key insights into employee perceptions. AI implementation in HRM helps improve overall employee productivity ranked the highest mean score of 4.66 reflects strong agreement that AI positively impacts employee productivity. This indicates that employees understand value in AI applications enhancing efficiency and overall performance within HRM functions. With Artificial Intelligence, hiring process become more efficient as it automates the initial scrutiny of candidates and AI-driven training programs provide personalized learning experiences were ranked second, mean score of 4.49 suggesting that automation enhances candidate selection and learning experiences. Fairness in hiring decisions ranked third, mean score of 4.27 reflecting employee trust in AI's ability to minimize bias. However, AI based performance evaluation ranked the lowest, mean score of 4.24 indicating some concerns about its objectivity compared to human judgment. These findings suggest that while employees recognize AI's benefits, there may still be reservations regarding its role in performance assessment.

Table 3 Employee Concerns of AI in HR Processes

S. No.	Factors	Mean Score	Rank
1.	I am concerned that AI may replace human HR professionals.	3.34	3
2.	AI based decisions in HRM should be monitored by humans.	4.34	2
3.	I feel comfortable interacting with AI based HR tools.	4.85	1

In light of the available information in Table 3, the analysis of employee concerns on AI in HRM reveals key perceptions. Employees are most comfortable interacting with AI based HR tools (Mean = 4.85, Rank 1), indicating a high level of acceptance and adaptability toward AI-driven systems. AI based decisions in HRM should be monitored by human factor ranked second (Mean = 4.34), suggesting that employees prefer AI to be monitored rather than fully autonomous. However, concerns about AI replacing human HR professionals ranked third (Mean = 3.34), highlighting some fear about job displacement due to AI integration. It suggests that employees may fear job displacement, but they don't overwhelmingly view it as a major threat at this stage. These findings suggest that while employees are comfortable using AI, they still value human involvement in HR decisionmaking.

Table 4 Privacy and Ethical Concerns

S. No.	Factors	Mean Score	Rank
1.	I am concerned about AI storing and using my personal information.	3.46	3
2.	AI based HR systems should have strong data privacy policies.	4.88	1
3.	I trust that AI in HRM protects my personal and professional data.	4.49	2
4.	AI in HRM should be regulated to ensure ethical usage.	4.88	1

Table 4 clearly indicates the analysis of employee concerns regarding AI and data privacy in HRM highlights key insights. The highestranked factors (Mean = 4.88) are that AI based HR systems should have strong data privacy policies and that AI in HRM should be regulated to ensure ethical usage, reflecting employees' strong preference for security measures and governance. Trust in AI to protect personal and professional data ranked second (Mean = 4.49), indicating moderate confidence in AI security. Concerns about AI storing and using personal information ranked lowest (Mean = 3.46), suggesting some level of fear but not as strongly as the need for privacy policies and regulations. These findings emphasize the importance of strict data protection measures to enhance employee trust in AI-driven HR processes.

Table 5 Employee Perspectives on AI in HRM

S. No.	Factors	Mean Score	Rank
1.	Employee perceptions of AI in HR Processes	4.46	2
2.	Employee concerns About AI in HR Processes	4.17	3
3.	Privacy and ethical concerns	4.76	1

The analysis of employee perspectives on AI in HRM highlights three key factors. As predicted, all findings from Table 5, privacy and ethical concerns ranked the highest (Mean = 4.76), indicating that employees prioritize data security and ethical AI usage. Employee perceptions of AI in HR processes ranked second (Mean = 4.46), suggesting that employees recognize AI's benefits but remain cautious. Employee concerns about AI in HR ranked the lowest (Mean = 4.17), showing some concerns but less compared to ethical and privacy concerns. These results emphasize the need for transparent AI policies to build employee trust.

Findings

This study reveals imperative experiences into worker believe and concerns with respect to coordination AI into human asset methods. Workers recognize AI's capacity to extend the enhancement of effectiveness, reasonableness, and objectivity in zones such as enlisting, preparing, and execution evaluation. Be that as it may, noteworthy concerns stay, especially with respect to protection and morals. Representatives stretch the require for solid information security arrangements and proceeded human oversight in AI-driven HR choices. Whereas AI is for the most part seen emphatically, fears related to work security and potential information abuse highlight the need of straightforwardness and moral execution to construct representative believe and acknowledgment.



Suggestions

For AI to be viably coordinates into HRM, organizations ought to guarantee human oversight in AI-driven choices to avoid predispositions and maintain moral benchmarks. Straightforwardness in AI utilization must be a need to extend worker believe. Actualizing rigid information security arrangements will offer assistance defend individual data and address security concerns. Moreover, AI preparing programs can bolster workers in adjusting to unused advances, making them more comfortable with AI apparatuses. Finally, organizations ought to comply with moral rules and government controls to advance capable and reasonable AI appropriation in HR movements.

Conclusion

This study emphasizes the cumulative character of Artificial Intelligence technology in HRM, predominantly in recruitment, skill enhancement, and performance systems. Whereas AI offers enhanced efficiency and productivity, employees remain concerned about data privacy and ethical implications. The findings highlight the need for clear AI policies, human oversight, and strong data protection measures to balance technological advancements with employee trust. Organizations must implement AI responsibly, ensuring ethical practices while leveraging its benefits. Future research could explore perspectives across different industries and examine AI's long term impact on HRM practices.

References

- 1. Baharm, Shahd Faisal, et al. "AI Work Tracker: Monitoring Time and Productivity." International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology, vol. 4, no. 2, 2024, pp. 351-357.
- 2. Chen, Zhisheng. "Ethics and Discrimination in Artificial Intelligence-Enabled Recruitment Practices." Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, vol. 10, 2023, pp.1–12.
- 3. Dwianto, Agung, et al. "Artificial Intelligence in Performance Evaluation (Case Study of PT. Pos Indonesia Employees)." Bit-Tech, vol. 7, no. 2, 2024.
- 4. Gupta, Ritika. "Impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on Human Resource Management (HRM)." International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, 2024.
- 5. L, Amarnath J. "IntelliSearch Intelligent Employee Data Access System." International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management, vol.9, no. 1, 2025.
- 6. Pathak, Arnima, et al. "Smart Educational Ecosystems: Tailoring Employee Training with Ai and IOT in the Industry 5.0 Landscape." 4th International Conference on Technological Advancements in Computational Sciences (ICTACS), 2024, pp. 1862–68.
- 7. Veera Shireesha Sangu, Sana Arshad, et al. "Chatbots and Virtual Assistants in HRM: Exploring Their Role in Employee Engagement and Support." Journal of Informatics Education and Research, vol.4, no. 2, 2024.