Corporate Social Responsibility in India

S. Ramamoorthy

Associate Professor, Department of Economics
N.M.S.S. Vellachammy Nadar College, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

The Companies Act of 1956 has been replaced by The Companies Act of 2013, and "corporate social responsibility (CSR)" has been made required for a specific class of businesses. With the rise of businesses, there must be an all-inclusive growth of society. Perhaps with this in mind, Indian legislators enacted this legislation. According to a Mercer report, India became the first country in the world to make it mandatory for companies with a net worth of more than Rs 500 crore, yearly sales of more than Rs 1,000 crore, or net profit of more than Rs 5 crore to devote at least 2% of their profits to CSR beginning in April 2014. Report by Mercer From April 2014, enterprises with a net worth of more than Rs 500 crore, yearly sales of more than Rs 1,000 crore, or a net profit of more than Rs 5 crore must devote at least 2% of their profits to Corporate Social Responsibilities (CSR). The Companies Act of 1956 was repealed in favour of the nearly revised Companies Act of 2013. CSR is addressed in Section 135 of the Act. Every such firm must form a Corporate Social Responsibility Committee of the Board of Directors, which must include three or more directors, at least one of whom must be an independent director.
Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility, CSR, CSR Practices

Introduction

Corporate social responsibility is a 21st-century corporate idea that is gaining traction. The goal of a corporate social responsibility policy is to create a self-regulatory system that allows businesses to monitor and ensure efficient compliance with the spirit of the law, international norms, and ethical standards. The managerial obligation to take action that protects and advances both the welfare of society as a whole and the interests of the organisation is known as corporate social responsibility. Supervisors are in charge of achieving goals not only within their organisations, but also for the greater good.

There are numerous areas in which a supervisor might work toward achieving an organisational goal while also benefiting society. A supervisor working to accomplish the organization's goal of generating high-quality products is one of these areas. Producing high-quality products serves society by delivering trustworthy items, as well as increasing the marketability of corporate products. Another example is a construction supervisor attempting to accomplish the organization's goal of constructing new houses for the underprivileged under a city contract. The supervisor is not only assisting the company in meeting its contractual duties, but is also assisting in the transformation of the organization's community into a more socially gratifying environment.

Corporate Social Responsibility Programmes come in a Variety of Forms, Including

Employee Health and Well-Being: Businesses must be socially responsible to their workers. Employees are a company's most valuable asset. Because employees' longevity is influenced by their lifestyle choices, employers must provide tools and incentives to encourage them to adopt or maintain healthy lifestyles.

There's also the necessity to provide a wide range of benefits to protect employees' physical and mental wellbeing.

Environmental Integrity: As part of corporate social responsibility, companies must pledge to maintain and even improve the environment for the benefit of present and future generations. It makes good commercial sense to conserve and preserve the environment. It not only improves the lives of our employees, clients, and their families, but it can also help us save money and increase our profits. Using energy-efficient properties, reducing our reliance on paper, and investing in alternative energy and clean air technology are just a few examples. Environmental responsibility include techniques that take precautions to avoid or minimise negative consequences, as well as support for initiatives, advocating greater environmental responsibility, developing and disseminating environmentally friendly technologies, and

Legal Responsibilities: A company's legal responsibilities are the legal obligations that it must meet. According to the philosophy of corporate social responsibility, the most crucial responsibility, after ensuring that the organisation is successful, is to ensure that it follows all laws. Securities rules, labour law, environmental law, and even criminal law are all examples of legal responsibilities.

Philanthropic Duties: Philanthropic responsibilities go beyond what is simply needed or what the company believes is appropriate. They entail making an effort to enhance society, such as through providing services to host communities, participating in environmental projects, or donating money to philanthropic causes. Giving finances, commodities, or services as part of philanthropic corporate social responsibility is common, and it can also be used as advertising.

Economic Responsibilities: An organization's first responsibility is its financial responsibility; that is, an organisation must be concerned with making a profit first and foremost. This is due to the simple truth that if a business does not produce money, it will fail, employees will lose their jobs, and the business will be unable to consider its social duties. Before a business considers being a good corporate citizen, it must first ensure that it is profitable (Smith, n.d). This means that economic responsibility includes things like integrity, corporate governance, community economic development, transparency, bribery and corruption prevention, payments to national and local governments, usage of local suppliers, hiring local labour, and other things.

Understanding the Benefits of Corporate Social Responsibility

For Business According to the research, companies that emphasise corporate social responsibility have a better chance of achieving the following benefits:

Enhanced Brand and Reputation: According to Tsoutsoura (2004), there are numerous advantages to being socially responsible. For starters, socially responsible organisations have a better brand image and reputation. Consumers are generally drawn to brands and businesses that have a high reputation in terms of CSR. A socially responsible company can also benefit from its reputation in the business world by being able to recruit more finance and trading partners. Reputation is difficult to quantify and measure, and it's even more difficult to quantify how much it boosts a company's value. However, since firms have established tools to measure the benefits of their advertising campaigns, similar methods may and should be used to corporate reputation. Companies that are socially responsible are likewise less likely to experience unpleasant uncommon events.

Reduced Operation Costs: There are also times when doing what is right and responsible converges with doing what is best for the company. Some CSR efforts have the potential to significantly lower operating costs. Reducing packing material or selecting the best route for delivery vehicles, for example, minimises both the environmental

impact and the expense of a company's operation. Executives are motivated to examine their business processes and seek more effective ways of operating as a result of implementing CSR concepts.

Attracting New Customers: Companies with a strong CSR commitment have an easier time attracting and retaining personnel (Turban and Greening 1997, quoted in Tsoutsoura, 2004), resulting in lower turnover, recruitment, and training costs. Employees frequently assess their employers' CSR performance to see if their personal beliefs contradict with those of the firms they work for. Employees have been asked to ignore legal or moral regulations under the pressure of their bosses in order to increase earnings in several cases. These methods foster a fearful environment at work, jeopardising employees' confidence, loyalty, and dedication to the firm.

It strikes a balance between power and responsibility: Organizations have power, and that power should be accompanied by societal responsibility. Those in positions of power should exercise caution. As Fredrick (1998) points out, modern business corporations wield power and influence, which should be supported by accountability. As a result, organisations have power; they have a significant deal of influence, and they must balance this with accountability. As a result, organisations have power; they have a significant deal of influence, and they must balance this with accountability. When they accomplish this, they earn the community's goodwill; when they don't, they earn the community's wrath. As a result, according to Frederick (1998), the interplay between power and accountability has established the "iron law of responsibility." The iron law of responsibility, as stated by Frederick (1998), states that individuals who do not use power in ways that society considers responsible will lose it in the long term.

It Discourages Government Regulation: When the government realises that a company or a group of companies are fully aware of their responsibilities (social responsibilities), the government is less likely to regulate business. Government regulations may have a negative impact on businesses, but when businesses recognise their social duty to the communities in which they operate, rules may be unnecessary. According to Frederick (1998, p. 39), cited in Asemah, et al (2013), business can resist additional government limitations by acting in a socially acceptable manner; it is accomplishing a public benefit as well as its own private good.

The relationship between the business and the community in which it operates is likely to be more credible and trustworthy if it is prepared to develop successful partnerships and demonstrate transparency through measuring, accounting, and reporting processes.

CSR Products

The goal of the strategy was to promote loyalty. This was where I started looking into the possibilities. In order to support the customer intimacy approach, an inventory of relevant CSR-related themes and challenges (internal or external) was compiled while moving through the four boxes with a CSR viewpoint. One of them was mentioned in the mission statement (top left). It highlighted the company's goal of improving patients' quality of life, which it was pursuing via its products. Why not improve the positioning in other ways, such as encouraging clients (mainly hospitals) to come up with innovative methods to assist this cause? The best concepts were then given a monetary award. Patients gain, and the initiative develops sympathy and strengthens hospital loyalty to their provider at the same time. Patients benefit, and the approach fosters empathy while also strengthening hospital loyalty to their provider.

Collaborating for CSR

The BNI instrument is based on a concept of CSR that began with the observation that businesses and non-governmental organisations are increasingly collaborating on growing CSR challenges. This encounter is viewed as both an opportunity and a significant problem. Both parties bring complementary skills and interests to the table. Since liberal governments tend to leave the regulation of an expanding number of social concerns to the market mechanism, NGOs seek business sponsorship. Of course, businesses play an important role in the market. Additionally, corporations perceive the benefit of collaborating with NGOs in terms of

strengthening their image and employee motivation, as well as reducing the danger of being targeted by anti-business campaigns.

Opportunity of CSR

The team first believed that there were strict legal limitations to what could be accomplished in terms of ethical purchasing. The EU Directives were repeatedly cited as being restrictive. The devotion to strict legal conformity stifled the investigation of new possibilities. The evidence of other effective evolving practise, while limited, was critical in this setting. The subsequent evolution of public policy toward sustainable purchasing, as well as increasing practise in other public institutions, appears to encourage increased confidence in addressing areas of opportunity.

Social Responsibility

The above-mentioned study of scope, breadth, and depth proven to be a useful framework for describing the whole possible framework of concerns – such as the well-known grouping of normal social responsibility issues into marketplace, workplace, community, environment, and governance. The issue of 'responsibility' which is an attribute of organisations or individuals, was also discussed: a product or service cannot be 'responsible' - responsibility refers to how the product or service is created and used.

Advantage of CSR

- Obtain new clients
- Customer retention should be improved.
- Develop and strengthen customer, supplier, and network relationships.
- Become an Employer of Choice by attracting, retaining, and maintaining a happy staff.
- Reduce energy and operational costs while also managing risk.
- Make yourself stand out from the crowd.
- Increase your influence by encouraging innovation and learning.
- Improve your company's image and reputation.

Author Details

S. Ramamoorthy, *Associate Professor, Department of Economics, N.M.S.S. Vellachammy Nadar College, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India*

Conclusion

The principle of conversation is fundamental to Western thought and the Western model of democracy, both of which are built on communicative openness to change. How can democracy represent people if change cannot be achieved through dialogue? The goal of these dialogue techniques is to highlight how naturally complicated human communication is, and how basic graphic modelling tools may exhibit 'open-thinking' in a way that all participants can see and comprehend. Ideas can be developed and discussed openly, allowing for the assessment of disagreement as well as the mechanisms for moving past it.

References

Chakrabarty, Bidyut. *Corporate Social Responsibility in India*. Routledge, 2011.

Frederick, William C., et al. *Business and Society, Corporate Strategy, Public Policy, Ethics*. McGraw Hill Publishing Company, 1998.

Mishra, Supriti, and Damodar Suar. "Does Corporate Social Responsibility Influence Firm Performance of Indian Companies? *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 95, 2010, pp. 571-601.

Mittal, R.K., et al. "An Analysis of Linkage between Economic Value Added and Corporate Social Responsibility." *Management Decision*, vol. 46, no. 9, 2008.

Tsoutsoura, Margarita. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance*. University of California at Berkeley, 2004.

Turban, Daniel B., and Daniel W. Greening. "Corporate Social Performance and Organizational Attractiveness to Prospective Employees." *Academy of Management Journal*, vol. 40, no. 3, 1997, pp. 658-672.

Pillai, K.R. "Corporate Social Responsibility in India: A Journey from Corporate Philanthropy to Governance Mandate." *Indian Journal of Corporate Governance*, vol. 10, no. 2, 2017, pp. 176-184.