

OPEN ACCESS

Manuscript ID:
EDU-2024-13018355

Volume: 13

Issue: 1

Month: December

Year: 2024

P-ISSN: 2320-2653

E-ISSN: 2582-1334

Received: 15.10.2024

Accepted: 22.11.2024

Published Online: 01.12.2024

Citation:

Samngamjan, N., Phettom, P., Sa-ngunsat, K., & Philuek, W. (2024).

A survey study on AI literacy of Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University's digital technology teacher students in Thailand. *Shanlax International Journal of Education*, 13(1), 33-40.

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.34293/education.v13i1.8355>



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

A Survey Study on AI Literacy of Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University's Digital Technology Teacher Students in Thailand

Nontachai Samngamjan

National Pingtung University, Taiwan

<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-9874-4733>

Pakawat Phettom

Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University, Thailand

<https://orcid.org/0009-0005-2442-6596>

Kajohnsak Sa-ngunsat

Surindra Rajabhat University, Thailand

<https://orcid.org/0009-0003-2629-3454>

Wudhijaya Philuek

Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University, Thailand

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1931-6633>

Abstract

In the realm of education, the integration of AI literacy into computer science teaching is becoming increasingly crucial (Walsh et al., 2023; Voulgari et al., 2022; Velander et al., 2023). Teachers play a pivotal role in bridging the gap between research and practical knowledge transfer of AI-related skills, necessitating a solid foundation in AI-related technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge (TPACK) (Velandar et al., 2023). As AI systems permeate various aspects of society, including education, teachers must adapt and develop competencies in AI to effectively impart these skills to students (Kreinsen & Schulz, 2023). The incorporation of AI ethics into the curriculum requires teachers to navigate complex issues such as biases related to race, gender, and social class, challenging both computer science and humanities educators to step out of their comfort zones and collaborate to provide high-quality instruction (Walsh et al., 2023). By leveraging their expertise in different domains and receiving support from research teams, teachers can create engaging learning experiences that prepare students for the ethical and technical challenges posed by AI systems (Walsh et al., 2023).

This article aimed to study the AI literacy level of teacher students major in digital technology who study at Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University in Thailand. There were 98 students responded the AI literacy questionnaire which contained of 4 factors (Knowledge and Use of AI 24 questions, Creation of AI 3 questions, AI Self-Efficacy 6 questions, and AI Self-Competency 7 questions). The results showed that, 1) there were no statistically significant differences in gender among Knowledge and Use, AI Self-Efficacy, and AI Self-Competency while has statistically highly significant as $P < 0.05$ in Creation of AI factor, 2) there were no statistically significant different in level of study and use of time used of computer among AI literacy factors, and 3) there was relationship between AI literacy factors with statistically highly significant as $P < 0.01$.

Keywords: AI Literacy, Teacher Students, Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a science and engineering that creates intelligence in machines. Therefore, it can be said that AI is a science that creates intelligence in machines, especially computer systems, so that they can calculate, reason, and learn like the human brain. This is to help computer

systems work instead of humans efficiently. Originally, the study of AI focused on producing machines that can work automatically. However, after technology slowly developed and eventually, technology has played a role in daily life.

AI will enhance the efficiency and functionality of nearly every tool, device, and vehicle made today. Students will need to learn to understand and manage AI and related technologies to be successful today and in the future. Students will need to build the skills, knowledge, and digital literacy to enable them to use technology responsibly and effectively. The term ‘digital readiness’ describes the combined attitudes, tools, and skillsets that will prepare students for the future. As today’s K–12 students enter the workforce, the demand for employees with skills in AI, machine learning, data analytics, and other computer science specialties will continue to grow now and in the years to come.

The [World Economic Forum \(2020\)](#) estimates that AI alone could create 97 million new jobs by 2025. To support students’ digital readiness and future success, educators should introduce AI concepts, demonstrate AI use cases, and help students understand the ethical issues surrounding AI. This learning can begin as early as elementary school to lay the foundation for building more advanced skills in middle school and high school. To better prepare students for the changing job market, educators and primary schools can help students engage more with AI in education by tailoring lessons to students’ current abilities and integrating hands-on learning experiences.

Educators can build a deeper understanding of this advanced technology and prepare students for a data-driven future. AI skills and understanding can be incorporated into the core curriculum, making them engaging and practical, even for the youngest students. Many, if not most, students will not pursue or pursue a career in computer science. However, understanding AI will be a key factor in their future success. According to the [World Economic Forum \(2020\)](#), the top skills and skill sets that employer are looking to prioritize by 2025 are critical thinking and analysis, as well as problem-solving and self-management skills such as active learning, resilience, stress tolerance and

flexibility. AI education can foster critical thinking and foster emotional intelligence as students explore AI applications and analyze the social and ethical implications of AI, even for students who have never written a line of code before. However, let’s look back at the core curriculum currently being used by the Ministry of Education in Thailand. The teaching of Computer Science is specified in the national core curriculum in the Science and Technology core content area, content area 4 (Technology), Standard 4.2 (Computing Science), in which the teaching of AI is only available in Grade 12.

Currently, education focuses on developing teaching and learning to enable students to have knowledge and skills that are an important force in developing the country. Active Learning is a learning management that emphasizes learner participation and is a learning management that develops brain potential, including thinking, problem solving, and applying knowledge. It is a learning management that allows learners to participate in the learning process to the maximum. Learners can create knowledge and organize the learning process by themselves. Learners participate in learning in terms of knowledge creation and mutual interaction. Learners learn about shared responsibility, work discipline, and division of responsibilities. Learners will organize their own learning system. It is a learning management that emphasizes high-level thinking skills, allowing learners to integrate information or data and principles of summarizing ([Imthap et al., 2024](#)).

Teachers need to have key competencies to integrate technology and teaching methods to provide learners with hands-on learning experiences. [Su et al. \(2022\)](#) highlighted the increasing popularity of AI as a subject among educators and researchers and noted that there is a rising demand for effective teaching strategies and curricula to integrate AI into K-12 education. He also pointed out a significant gap in the literature regarding AI curriculum development specifically for K-12 classrooms in the Asia-Pacific region. Most existing studies focus on the United States and European countries, leaving a lack of localized research that addresses the unique educational contexts and needs of the Asia-Pacific region by using a meta-review of existing research on

AI curricula for K-12 education in the Asia-Pacific region by analyzing 14 research papers from 2018 to 2021, and seek to identify key components such as content knowledge, tools, platforms, activities, theories, assessment methods, and learning outcomes related to AI education.

The research highlights that while AI education is gaining traction globally, there is a significant gap in studies focusing on the Asia-Pacific region. Most existing literature primarily examines AI curricula in the United States and Europe, leaving a need for more localized research. The review of 14 research papers indicates that well-designed AI curricula can effectively enhance students' knowledge and skills related to AI. This includes fostering positive learning attitudes and increasing student interest in the subject. The findings suggest that further research is needed to explore effective AI learning designs and activities specifically tailored for the Asia-Pacific context. This could help in developing more effective teaching strategies and resources for educators (Su et al., 2022).

There are few studies on AI education research, particularly in Thailand. One research focused on designing tool named AIThaiGen which was a web-based learning platform for Grade 7th and 8th students to study the introduces AI concepts. It can communicate with remote hardware stations, allowing students to test their AI models in real-world scenarios (Aung et al., 2022). Other two research related to teaching and learning AI were 1) try-out of AI learning innovation which used 5 learning modules (Module 1: Perception, Module 2: Representation & Reasoning, Module 3: Machine learning, Module 4: Interaction, and Module 5: Societal impacts) (Sudjitjoon et al., 2022), and 2) study on the effects of Artificial Intelligence Technology and STAD cooperative learning method on learning achievement, attitude towards Physics and attitude towards technology for Grade 10th students (Sangwaranatee et al., 2022) while other research about AI in education based on using as a learning tools and no research paper focused on the competencies or AI literacy of teachers. Since teacher students will grow up to be teachers or instructors in the future, preparing or developing the competence of teacher students is very important.

This article aimed to study the AI literacy level of teacher students major in digital technology who study at Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University in Thailand which students in this field must graduate in order to teach AI in both primary and secondary schools.

Research Design

This study used the quantitative research method by survey. The target group were 197 Digital Technology teacher students of the Faculty of Education, Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University who enrolled to study in Academic Year 2024, first semester and there were 98 students responded the AI literacy questionnaire. The research tool was AI literacies questionnaire which adapted by MAILS - Meta AI Literacy Scale (Carolus et al., 2023). The MAILS was contained of 4 factors (Knowledge and Use of AI 24 questions, Creation of AI 3 questions, AI Self-Efficacy 6 questions, and AI Self-Competency 7 questions) and used to measure AI literacy and include other psychological competencies that might be helpful in predicting the prolonged and sustainable use of AI (Carolus et al., 2023).

The survey conducted by using the google form which sent to students by invited them to responded without any rewards and special things. Students will feel free to answer all questions and that was why 98 students responded from 197 students. The survey collected from June to July (4 weeks) and after researchers got the information in time limited, the data will used to calculated by using statistical software package. The statistics used were mean, standard deviation, t-test, ANOVA, and Pearson Correlation.

Results

The study participants in Table 1 included 98 respondents (male 53; female 45) from 197 total number of Digital Technology teacher students at Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University.

Table 1 Gender of Students

| Gender | Frequency | Percent |
|--------|-----------|---------|
| Male | 45 | 45.9 |
| Female | 53 | 54.1 |
| Total | 98 | 100.0 |

Table 2 shows that first year (27 students from 59 students=45.76%) and fourth year students (27 students from 54 students=50%) responded at 27.6% followed by second year (22 students from 41 students=51.22%) & third year students (22 students from 43 students=51.16%) responded at 22%.

Table 2 Level of Study

| Level | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|
| First year | 27 | 27.6 |
| Second year | 22 | 22.4 |
| Third year | 22 | 22.4 |
| Fourth year | 27 | 27.6 |
| Total | 98 | 100.0 |

Table 3 shows that students used the digital devices more than 4 hrs. at 32.7% followed by used 1 – 2 hrs. (29.6%), Less than 1 hr. (21.4%), and 3 - 4 hrs. (16.3%) respectively.

Table 3 Frequency of Used

| Used | Frequency | Percent |
|------------------|-----------|---------|
| Less than 1 hr. | 21 | 21.4 |
| 1-2 hrs. | 29 | 29.6 |
| 3-4 hrs. | 16 | 16.3 |
| More than 4 hrs. | 32 | 32.7 |
| Total | 98 | 100.0 |

Table 4 shows the t-test of gender difference (male and female) towards AI literacies. It was found that there was gender different between male and female on Creation of AI at .05 statistical level of significance which male have higher mean score than female, while other three AI literacies factors (Knowledge and Use of AI, AI Self-Efficacy, and AI Self-Competency) not performed the significance level.

Table 4 Gender Difference towards AI Literacies (N=98)

| Variables | Gender | N | Mean | Standard Deviation | Std. Error Mean | Sig | t |
|-------------------------|--------|----|------|--------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Knowledge and Use of AI | Male | 45 | 3.66 | .75895 | .11314 | .172 | 1.935 |
| | Female | 53 | 3.38 | .65342 | .08975 | | |
| Creation of AI | Male | 45 | 3.11 | .90775 | .13532 | .043* | .149 |
| | Female | 53 | 3.09 | .65495 | .08996 | | |
| AI Self-Efficacy | Male | 45 | 3.59 | .82389 | .12282 | .283 | 1.323 |
| | Female | 53 | 3.38 | .73480 | .10093 | | |
| AI Self-Competency | Male | 45 | 3.59 | .79865 | .11906 | .181 | 1.599 |
| | Female | 53 | 3.35 | .65383 | .08981 | | |

*P < .05

Table 5 shows the ANOVA test between level of study (First year/ Second year/ Third year/ Fourth year) towards AI literacies (Knowledge and Use of AI, Creation of AI, AI Self-Efficacy, and AI Self-Competency). It demonstrated that the level of study not affect the AI literacies of Digital Technology teacher students at Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University.

Table 6 shows the ANOVA test between frequency of using time (Less than 1 hr./ 1 – 2 hrs./ 3 - 4 hrs./ More than 4 hrs.) towards AI literacies (Knowledge and Use of AI, Creation of AI, AI Self-Efficacy, and AI Self-Competency). It demonstrated that the level of study not affect the AI literacies of Digital Technology teacher students at Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University.

Table 5 ANOVA of Level of Study towards AI Literacies (N=98)

| Variables | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----|-------------|-------|------|
| Knowledge and Use of AI | | | | | |
| Between groups | 2.782 | 3 | .927 | 1.869 | .140 |
| Within groups | 46.619 | 94 | .496 | | |
| Total | 49.401 | 97 | | | |
| Creation of AI | | | | | |
| Between groups | 1.247 | 3 | .416 | .682 | .566 |
| Within groups | 57.330 | 94 | .610 | | |
| Total | 58.577 | 97 | | | |
| AI Self-Efficacy | | | | | |
| Between groups | 1.814 | 3 | .605 | .994 | .399 |
| Within Groups | 57.186 | 94 | .608 | | |
| Total | 59.000 | 97 | | | |

| AI Self-Competency | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|----|------|------|------|
| Between groups | .878 | 3 | .293 | .542 | .655 |
| Within Groups | 50.757 | 94 | .540 | | |
| Total | 51.635 | 97 | | | |

Table 6 ANOVA of Frequency of using Time towards AI Literacies (N=98)

| Variables | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----|-------------|-------|------|
| Knowledge and Use of AI | | | | | |
| Between groups | 3.665 | 3 | 1.222 | 2.511 | .063 |
| Within groups | 45.736 | 94 | .487 | | |
| Total | 49.401 | 97 | | | |

| Creation of AI | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|----|------|------|------|
| Between groups | 1.558 | 3 | .519 | .856 | .467 |
| Within Groups | 57.019 | 94 | .607 | | |
| Total | 58.577 | 97 | | | |

| AI Self-Efficacy | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|----|-------|-------|------|
| Between groups | 3.206 | 3 | 1.069 | 1.800 | .152 |
| Within groups | 55.794 | 94 | .594 | | |
| Total | 59.000 | 97 | | | |

| AI Self-Competency | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|----|------|------|------|
| Between groups | 1.141 | 3 | .380 | .708 | .550 |
| Within groups | 50.494 | 94 | .537 | | |
| Total | 51.635 | 97 | | | |

Table 7 Correlation Coefficient between 4 Dimensions of AI Literacies (N=98)

| Variables | Mean | S.D. | Knowledge and Use of AI | Creation of AI | AI Self-Efficacy | AI Self-Competency |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Knowledge and Use of AI | 3.51 | .71 | 1 | | | |
| Creation of AI | 3.10 | .78 | .674** | 1 | | |
| AI Self-Efficacy | 3.47 | .79 | .798** | .720** | 1 | |
| AI Self-Competency | 3.46 | .73 | .714** | .598** | .776** | 1 |

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 7 shows the correlation coefficient between Knowledge and Use of AI, Creation of AI, AI Self-Efficacy, and AI Self-Competency. The results showed that the 4 factors of AI literacies have the relationship between them with lower than 0.01 level significant (2-tailed).

Conclusions

The results show that there were 98 students responded the questionnaire which female higher than male and first year and fourth year students were majority. They often used the digital devices more than 4 hrs. and gender difference affects AI literacy on the creation of AI. There was not significance difference between level of study and time used towards 4 factors of AI literacies. The results also demonstrated that there was relationship between AI literacies (Knowledge and Use of AI, Creation of AI, AI Self-Efficacy, and AI Self-Competency) with lower than 0.01 level significant.

Gender differences significantly influence the development and creative output of AI systems. Research indicates that the underrepresentation of women in AI design leads to biased algorithms that reinforce stereotypes, particularly in

anthropomorphic AI, where female entities are often feminized and associated with normative roles (Antonopoulou, 2023). Additionally, studies show that female researchers in AI tend to have fewer citations and exhibit distinct linguistic styles in their publications, such as using more positive emotion words and catchy titles (Ding et al., 2023). This disparity in recognition and representation can perpetuate gender biases in AI-generated content, as seen in imagery where female figures are sexualized while male figures are depicted as authoritative (Foka, 2024). Addressing these biases through inclusive design practices and promoting gender diversity in AI research is crucial for creating equitable and representative AI systems.

The creation and integration of AI technologies significantly influence the development of AI self-efficacy and self-competency among users, particularly in educational settings. Research indicates that engaging with AI tools enhances computational thinking and self-efficacy, as students experience personalized learning and adaptive feedback, which fosters confidence in their abilities to tackle complex problems (Massat et al., 2024). Furthermore, collaborative creation

with AI, rather than mere editing, has been shown to enhance creative self-efficacy, suggesting that active participation in the creative process with AI leads to greater confidence and competence in using these technologies (McGuire et al., 2024). In the context of teacher training, findings reveal that while anxiety regarding AI learning exists, it negatively correlates with self-efficacy, indicating that increased familiarity through training can improve confidence levels (Hsu et al., 2023). Additionally, pre-service teachers demonstrated enhanced self-efficacy when utilizing AI-supported applications, highlighting the importance of technology acceptance and usability in fostering competency (Chou et al., 2023). Overall, consistent practice with AI tools leads to improved self-efficacy and competency over time (Gardella et al., 2024).

The relationship between an individual's knowledge of AI creation and their perceived ability to create AI is multifaceted. Individuals with greater knowledge about AI tend to exhibit a phenomenon known as 'risk blindness', where they underestimate the risks associated with AI applications, potentially leading to overconfidence in their creative capabilities with AI tools (Said et al., 2023). This overconfidence can be exacerbated by the Dunning-Kruger Effect, where less competent individuals overestimate their skills, hindering their appropriate reliance on AI systems for creative tasks (He et al., 2023). Conversely, those who possess strong divergent-thinking skills and generate more creative prompts for AI art generators produce higher-quality outputs, indicating that human creativity remains crucial in guiding AI-generated content (Orwig et al., 2024). Furthermore, the collaboration between human knowledge and AI is essential for effective knowledge creation, as AI accelerates human understanding but does not replace the need for human judgment and creativity (Ichijo, 2022; Tao et al., 2023). Thus, an individual's knowledge of AI significantly influences their perceived ability to engage in AI creation.

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in classrooms significantly enhances teaching methodologies and learning experiences. Generative AI facilitates personalized learning by automating tasks such as lesson planning and feedback, thereby allowing educators to focus on student engagement

and interaction (Alali et al., 2024). Moreover, initiatives aimed at developing AI literacy among educators empower them to design innovative, AI-enhanced learning activities that promote critical thinking and collaboration (Romero, 2024). Tools like GenClassroom leverage AI's capabilities to transform lessons into engaging visual content, which enhances retention and understanding (Nambiar et al., 2024). Additionally, the use of AI in analyzing classroom dialogues fosters a culture of transparency and continuous improvement in pedagogical strategies, ultimately enriching the educational environment (Li et al., 2024). Collectively, these advancements illustrate AI's potential to revolutionize traditional teaching practices, making learning more dynamic and effective.

This research also recommends that the evolution of AI literacy among teacher students is crucial for adapting to the demands of modern education, particularly as AI technologies become increasingly integrated into teaching practices. Research indicates that teachers must develop profound AI literacy and ethical knowledge to effectively incorporate AI into their curricula, thereby enhancing the quality of teacher education (Rütli-Joy et al., 2024). Preservice teachers or teacher students initially exhibit limited understanding of AI, but targeted educational interventions significantly boost their confidence and awareness, although concerns about AI's impact on teaching roles persist (Hur, 2024). Furthermore, the concept of professional capital is essential, as it empowers teachers to redefine their professional identities in the AI era, emphasizing the importance of human, social, and decisional capital in navigating AI integration (Liu & Li, 2022). Teachers who engage with AI curricula report expanded knowledge and a deeper understanding of AI's societal implications, highlighting the need for ongoing professional development and support (Ravi et al., 2023). This collective insight underscores the necessity for continuous adaptation in teacher education to foster effective AI literacy.

References

- Alali, R., Wardat, Y., Al-Saud, K., & Alhayek, K. A. (2024). Generative AI in education: Best practices for successful implementation. *International Journal of Religion*, 5(9).

- Antonopoulou, C. (2023). Algorithmic bias in anthropomorphic artificial intelligence: Critical perspectives through the practice of women media artists and designers. *Technoetic Arts*, 21, 157-174.
- Aung, Z. H., Sanium, S., Songsaksupachok, C., Kusakunniran, W., Precharattana, M., Chuechote, S., & Ritthipravat, P. (2022). Designing a novel teaching platform for AI: A case study in a Thai school context. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 38(6).
- Carolus, A., Koch, M. J., Straka, S., Latoschik, M. E., & Wienrich, C. (2023). MAIIS - Meta AI literacy scale: Development and testing of an AI literacy questionnaire based on well-founded competency models and psychological change- and meta-competencies. *Computers in Human Behavior: Artificial Humans*, 1(2).
- Chou, C. -M., Shen, T. -C., Shen, T. -C., Shen, C. -H. & Liu, T. -L. (2023). Promoting pre-service teachers' AI-supported application of self-efficacy. *2023 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Software Engineering and Artificial Intelligence*.
- Ding, Y., Liu, J., Lyu, Z., Zhang, K., Schoelkopf, B., Jin, Z., & Mihalcea, R. (2023). Voices of Her: Analyzing gender differences in the AI publication world. *ArXiv*.
- Foka, A. (2024). She works, he works: A curious exploration of gender bias in AI-generated imagery. *ArXiv*.
- Franzoni, V. (2023). Gender differences and bias in artificial intelligence. In J. Vallverdú (Ed.), *Gender in AI and Robotics*. Springer.
- Gardella, N., Pettit, R., & Riggs, S. L. (2024). Performance, workload, emotion, and self-efficacy of novice programmers using AI code generation. *Proceedings of the 2024 on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*.
- Hazel, F. (2024). Re-imagining student engagement in an AI-enhanced classroom: Strategies and practices. In S. Beckingham, J. Lawrence, S. Powell, & P. Hartley (Eds.), *Using Generative AI Effectively in Higher Education: Sustainable and Ethical Practices for Learning, Teaching and Assessment* (pp. 67-73). Routledge.
- He, G., Kuiper, L., & Gadiraju, U. (2023). Knowing about knowing: An illusion of human competence can hinder appropriate reliance on AI systems. *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*.
- Hsu, T. -C., Hsu, T. -P. & Lin, Y. -T. (2023). The artificial intelligence learning anxiety and self-efficacy of in-service teachers taking AI training courses. *2023 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Education*.
- Hur, J. W. (2024). Fostering AI literacy: Overcoming concerns and nurturing confidence among preservice teachers. *Information and Learning Sciences*.
- Ichijo, K. (2022). Synthesis of human knowledge creation and artificial intelligence. In *The Routledge Companion to Knowledge Management* (pp.140-152). Routledge.
- Imthap, P. C., Nueangdad, T., Dvngna, P. P., & Wirunsuwannadit, P. (2024). Artificial intelligence: Active learning teaching with morality of teachers in Thai educational institutions. *Journal of MCU Haripunchai Review*, 8(1), 301-312.
- Kreinsen, M., & Schulz, S. (2023). Towards the triad of digital literacy, data literacy and AI literacy in teacher education – A discussion in light of the accessibility of novel generative AI. *EdArXiv*.
- Li, X., Han, G., Fang, B., & He, J. (2024). Advancing the in-class dialogic quality: Developing an artificial intelligence-supported framework for classroom dialogue analysis. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*.
- Liu, X., & Li, Y. (2022). Redefining teacher qualification in the artificial intelligence era: A professional capital perspective. *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Big Data and Education*.
- Massaty, M. H., Fahrurrozi, S. K., & Budiyanto, C. W. (2024). The role of AI in fostering computational thinking and self-efficacy in educational settings: A systematic review. *Indonesian Journal of Informatics Education*.
- McGuire, J., De Cremer, D., & Van de Cruys, T. (2024). Establishing the importance of

- co-creation and self-efficacy in creative collaboration with artificial intelligence. *Scientific Reports*, 14.
- Nambiar, V., Khedkar, R., & Ranveer, S. K. (2024). Enriching education with artificial intelligence generative Ai-speech to image generator. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology*, 12(5).
- Orwig, W., Bellaiche, L., Spooner, S., Vo, A., Baig, Z., Ragnhildstveit, A., ... Seli, P. (2024). Using AI to generate visual art: Individual differences in creative ability predict art quality. *PsyArXiv*.
- Ravi, P., Broski, A., Stump, G., Abelson, H., Klopfer, E., & Breazeal, C. (2023). Understanding teacher perspectives and experiences after deployment of AI literacy curriculum in middle-school classrooms. *Proceedings of ICERI2023 Conference*.
- Romero, M. (2024). Collaborative design of artificial intelligence-enhanced learning activities. *IRMBAM2024*.
- Rütti-Joy, O., Winder, G., & Biedermann, H. (2024). Teacher educator professionalism in the age of AI: Navigating the new landscape of quality education. In *Artificial Intelligence and Education - Shaping the Future of Learning*, IntechOpen.
- Said, N., Potinteu, A. E., Brich, I. R., Buder, J., Schumm, H., & Huff, M. (2023). An artificial intelligence perspective: How knowledge and confidence shape risk and benefit perception. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 149, 107855.
- Sangwaranatee, N., Chowakeeratipong, C., & Chindanuruk, T. (2022). Effects of artificial intelligence technology and STAD cooperative learning method on learning achievement, attitude towards physics and attitude towards technology. *Journal of Research Unit on Science, Technology and Environment for Learning*, 13(1), 112-124.
- Su, J., Zhong, Y., & Ng, D. T. K. (2022). A meta-review of literature on educational approaches for teaching AI at the K-12 levels in the Asia-Pacific region. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100065.
- Sudjitjooon, W., khodchapong, N., Treephongphan, U., Khongtan, K., & Hengpraprom, S. (2022). The effectiveness of AI learning innovation for elementary school children. *Journal of Buddhist Anthropology*, 327-338.
- Tao, W., Gao, S., & Yuan, Y. (2023). Boundary crossing: An experimental study of individual perceptions toward AIGC. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14.
- Velandar, J., Taiye, M. A., Otero, N., & Milrad, Marcelo. (2023). Artificial intelligence in K-12 education: Eliciting and reflecting on Swedish teachers' understanding of AI and its implications for teaching & learning. *Education and Information Technologies*.
- Voulgari, I., Stouraitis, E., Camilleri, V., & Karpouzis, K. (2022). Artificial intelligence and machine learning education and literacy: Teacher training for primary and secondary education teachers. In S. Xeferis (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on Integrating ICTs in STEAM Education* (pp. 1-21). IGI Global.
- Walsh, B., Dalton, B., Forsyth, S., & Yeh, T. (2023). Literacy and STEM teachers adapt AI ethics curriculum. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- World Economic Forum. (2020). *The Future of Jobs Report 2020*.

Author Details

Nontachai Samngamjan, *National Pingtung University, Taiwan*

Pakawat Phettom, *Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University, Thailand*

Kajohnsak Sa-ngunsat, *Surindra Rajabhat University, Thailand*

Wudhijaya Philuek, *Nakhon Sawan Rajabhat University, Thailand*, **Email ID:** wudhijaya@nsru.ac.th