#### OPEN ACCESS

Manuscript ID: ENG-2024-13018354

Volume: 13

Issue: 1

Month: December

Year: 2024

P-ISSN: 2320-2645

E-ISSN: 2582-3531

Received: 12.10.2024

Accepted: 19.11.2024

Published Online: 01.12.2024

# Citation:

Frederick, Suresh. "From Mourning to Mutiny: Successful Communication Strategy Used by Antony in Shakespeare's *Julius Caesar*." *Shanlax International Journal of English*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2024, pp. 12–17.

#### DOI:

https://doi.org/10.34293/ english.v13i1.8354



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

# From Mourning to Mutiny: Successful Communication Strategy Used by Antony in Shakespeare's *Julius Caesar*

# **Suresh Frederick**

Associate Professor & UG Head, Department of English Bishop Heber College, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3345-3781

#### Abstract

In the heart of ancient Rome, amidst the chaos and grief following Julius Caesar's assassination, Marc Antony delivered a speech that would forever alter the course of history. This paper examines the effectiveness of Marc Antonius's funeral oration in William Shakespeare's play Julius Caesar through the lens of modern communication theory. By analysing Antony's strategic approach, the paper highlights key elements of successful communication, including defining clear objectives, understanding the target audience, crafting a compelling message, and utilising appropriate communication channels and feedback mechanisms. Antony's speech exemplifies in establishing a feedback mechanism, observing reactions, strategic pauses and rhetorical questions. The paper further explores Antony's excellent use of Aristotle's rhetorical appeals, ethos, pathos, and logos, to sway public opinion and incite action. Through a detailed examination of the speech's impact on the Roman populace, the paper demonstrates the enduring relevance of rhetorical principles and communication strategies, emphasising the power of language to shape perceptions, influence beliefs, and inspire action, even centuries after its initial delivery.

Keywords: Shakespeare, Rhetoric, Communication Strategy, Funeral Oration

#### Introduction

A few speeches in literature resonate with the raw power and persuasive brilliance of Marc Antony's funeral oration in Shakespeare's *Julius Caesar*. The speech delivered by Marc Antony in Shakespeare's play *Julius Caesar* is a prime example of a successful communication strategy. Antony's masterful use of language, understanding of his audience, and strategic execution of his message allowed him to sway public opinion and ultimately avenge Caesar's assassination (Menon 57). This paper will use a multi-faceted approach, drawing on communication theory and Aristotelian rhetorical appeals, to delineate the key components of Antony's successful communication strategy. Even centuries later, Antony's speech resonates as a timeless example of how language can be wielded to sway hearts and minds, demonstrating its enduring relevance to the art of persuasion.

#### Literature Review

Previous studies have examined the dramatic and rhetorical elements of Antony's speech, highlighting its effectiveness in persuading the Roman populace (Menon 57). Additionally, marketing principles have been used to analyse the sales tactics employed by both Brutus and Antony in their respective addresses. These analyses have shed light on the strategic considerations and persuasive techniques underlying Antony's communication approach. (Krishnan 33; Freeman 172).

(Altman) explores the influence of classical rhetoric on Renaissance thought, including Shakespeare's plays in his book. This could provide a theoretical framework for understanding how Shakespeare uses rhetoric to engage with ideas about language, persuasion, and power.

(McNair) examines the role of rhetoric in contemporary political discourse. While focusing on modern examples, his analysis of persuasive techniques used by politicians could offer insights into Antony's strategies for swaying the Roman crowd.

(Bitzer) arguing that communication is always shaped by the context in which it occurs. Bitzer's model helps explain how Antony analyses the funeral setting, the crowd's grief, and Brutus's prior speech to craft his own message strategically.

(Hymes) expands on basic communication models to emphasise the social and cultural factors that influence how meaning is created. This model is useful for analysing how Antony draws upon shared Roman values (like honour, loyalty, and respect for Caesar) to make his message resonate.

(Hall) emphasises that audiences do not passively receive messages; they actively decode them based on their own backgrounds and interpretations. This is helpful for examining how Antony anticipates potential counterarguments and tailors his language to address the crowd's possible doubts or scepticism.

(Noelle-Neumann) While not a communication model in the traditional sense, this theory explores how individuals' perceptions of public opinion influence their willingness to speak out. This can be connected to how Antony creates the impression that public opinion is turning against the conspirators, emboldening the crowd to take action.

This paper will build on these prior studies by adopting a comprehensive communication strategy framework to evaluate the key components of Antony's successful speech.

# The Study

Antony's primary goal was to turn the Roman populace against the conspirators who had assassinated Caesar. He sought to undermine the justifications provided by Brutus and the other assassins, and paint them as treacherous and self-

serving. Antony's objective was to stir up emotions of anger and resentment towards the assassins, making the crowd receptive to his call for vengeance. Antony repeatedly refers to Caesar's wounds and the brutality of his assassination, using vivid imagery to stir the crowd's emotions. 'I come to bury Caesar, not to praise him' (Julius Caesar, Act III, Scene 2, 1.84). This seemingly simple statement is actually quite crafty. Antony is publicly claiming neutrality while subtly hinting at the injustice of Caesar's murder. This sets the stage for him to dismantle Brutus's justifications without appearing to directly oppose him.

Antony uses irony and sarcasm, juxtaposing Caesar's supposed ambition with his generous acts and questioning Brutus's definition of an 'ambitious' man. 'But Brutus says he was ambitious; / And Brutus is an honourable man' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.95, 96). This repeated line, while seemingly praising Brutus, is actually dripping with irony. By the third or fourth repetition, the crowd begins to question Brutus's honour and the validity of his claims about Caesar.

Antony masterfully manipulates the crowd's emotions, leading them from sympathy for Caesar to a desire for revenge. 'You are not wood, you are not stones, but men; / And, being men, bearing the will of Caesar, / It will inflame you, it will make you mad' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.154-156). Here, Antony explicitly acknowledges his intent to stir the crowd's emotions. He knows that by revealing Caesar's generosity in his will, he will incite their anger and desire for revenge.

# **Target Audience**

Antony recognized that his speech would be delivered to the common Roman citizens, who had been swayed by Brutus's earlier oration. He knew he needed to appeal to their patriotism, sense of loyalty, and desire for stability in the aftermath of Caesar's death. Antony tailored his message to resonate with this specific audience, using colloquial language and evoking familiar symbols and narratives.

'Friends, Romans, countrymen, lend me your ears...' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.82). This opening line directly addresses the crowd, using inclusive terms that foster a sense of unity and shared identity. He is not speaking at them, but to them as equals. 'You

are not wood, you are not stones, but men' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.154). Here, Antony acknowledges the crowd's capacity for emotional response. He knows they are not unfeeling objects but passionate individuals who will react strongly to the injustice of Caesar's murder and the generosity revealed in his will. These quotes, while not explicitly stating 'These are my target audience', demonstrate Antony's keen understanding of the crowd's emotions and his ability to tailor his message to evoke the desired response. He knows how to ignite their anger, manipulate their grief, and ultimately turn them into instruments of his revenge.

# **Compelling Message**

Antony's speech was masterfully crafted to evoke powerful emotions in his audience. He skilfully played on the crowd's pre-existing reverence for Caesar, describing him as a 'faithful and just' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.94) leader who had done much for Rome (Menon 56). He also strategically withheld key information, such as Caesar's will, until the end of his speech, building anticipation and drama. Antony's use of rhetorical devices, such as repetition, rhetorical questions, and dramatic pauses, further heightened the impact of his message.

Beyond his skilful use of rhetoric, Antony crafts a compelling message by appealing to the crowd's shared values. He constantly reminds them of Caesar's love for Rome, his military triumphs, and his generosity towards the common people. By framing the assassination as an act against Rome itself, not just against Caesar, Antony taps into the crowd's sense of patriotism and their desire for justice. Furthermore, while claiming to offer 'no evidence', Antony strategically presents details that subtly undermine Brutus's claims. He reminds the crowd of Caesar's refusal to accept a crown, implying his lack of ambition, and he heightens their emotions by displaying Caesar's will and his brutally stabbed body. This calculated presentation of evidence, combined with Antony's masterful pacing and emotional build-up, creates a message that resonates deeply with the crowd and ultimately drives them to action.

'He was my friend, faithful and just to me...' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.94). This simple statement

establishes Antony's personal connection to Caesar, framing him as a sympathetic figure rather than an ambitious tyrant. This resonates with the crowd and makes them more receptive to his perspective. 'When that the poor have cried, Caesar hath wept...' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.100). This evokes Caesar's compassion and concern for the common people, directly contrasting Brutus's portraval of him as power-hungry. It appeals to the crowd's emotions and their own experiences with hardship. 'You all did see that on the Lupercal / I thrice presented him a kingly crown, / Which he did thrice refuse: was this ambition?' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.104-106). This rhetorical question challenges the very foundation of Brutus's argument. By reminding the crowd of Caesar's refusal of the crown, Antony subtly plants seeds of doubt about the conspirators' motives. These quotes, while not the only compelling aspects of Antony's speech, demonstrate his ability to connect with the crowd on an emotional level, subtly challenge their perceptions, and ultimately sway their opinion in his favour.

# Use of Emotive Language and Rhetoric

Antony's speech was marked by his skilful use of emotive language and rhetorical devices. Crucially, Antony masterfully employs a range of rhetorical devices to sway the crowd:

**Repetition:** The repeated phrase 'Brutus is an honourable man' becomes increasingly ironic, subtly undermining Brutus's credibility.

**Rhetorical Questions:** Questions like 'Was this ambition?' force the audience to reconsider Brutus's accusations against Caesar.

**Irony:** Antony feigns agreement with Brutus, 'But Brutus says he was ambitious' while simultaneously presenting evidence to the contrary.

**Diction:** Powerful word choices like 'traitors', 'envious men', and 'brutish beasts' inflame the crowd's emotions against the conspirators.

Antony repeatedly referred to Caesar as a 'noble' and 'faithful' leader, and described the conspirators as 'traitors' and 'envious men'. His use of rhetorical questions, such as 'Was this ambition?' and dramatic pauses, built anticipation and engagement with the crowd.

# **Choosing the Right Communication Channel**

Antony understood the importance of selecting the appropriate communication channel to reach his target audience. By delivering his speech at Caesar's funeral in the public square, Antony was able to directly address the common people of Rome, who were the primary targets of his message. The public setting, with its large, engaged audience, allowed Antony to maximise the impact and reach of his oration.

Antony's choice to deliver his speech at Caesar's funeral in the public square was a strategic masterstroke. The funeral setting itself provided a powerful backdrop, as the crowd, already immersed in grief and mourning, was primed for emotional persuasion. By speaking directly to the people, Antony bypassed formal channels of power and tapped into the raw energy of the masses. Furthermore, the public setting allowed him to utilise powerful visual aids, such as Caesar's body and his blood-stained cloak, which heightened the emotional impact of his words and made the assassination more tangible for the crowd.

# **Establishing a Feedback Mechanism**

Antony's speech was not a one-way communication; he closely monitored the crowd's reactions and adjusted his message accordingly. As he spoke, he observed the crowd's emotional responses and modified his language and tone to maintain their engagement and receptiveness to his message.

Shakespeare provides ample evidence of Antony's attentiveness to the crowd's feedback throughout his speech. For example, when Antony displays Caesar's cloak pierced by the assassins' daggers, he carefully observes the crowd's reactions, noting their gasps and murmurs of disbelief. He capitalises on their growing anger, strategically pausing to allow their emotions to build. Furthermore, Antony's repeated use of rhetorical questions, encourages a form of silent dialogue with the audience, allowing him to anticipate and address their unspoken objections.

Observing Reactions: The text states that when Antony displays Caesar's cloak pierced by the assassins' daggers, he carefully observes the crowd's reactions, noting their gasps and murmurs

of disbelief. This shows Antony is paying close attention to how the crowd is receiving his message.

Strategic Pauses: Strategically pausing to allow their emotions to build. This indicates Antony is giving the crowd time to react and letting their emotions escalate before continuing.

Rhetorical Questions: Furthermore, Antony's repeated use of rhetorical questions encourages a form of silent dialogue with the audience, allowing him to anticipate and address their unspoken objections. This highlights how rhetorical questions are used to gauge the audience's sentiment and tailor his arguments accordingly.

# Aristotle's Rhetorical Appeals

To further elucidate Anton's speech, this paper further draws on Aristotle's rhetorical appeals of ethos, pathos, and logos. Through his skilful use of rhetoric, Antony is able to establish his credibility (ethos) as a trusted friend of Caesar, which allows him to present his message with authority. Antony's emotional appeals to the crowd's sense of loyalty and patriotism, and his strategic use of visual aids and dramatic pauses, powerfully stir their feelings and override their rational faculties. As Aristotle observed, such appeals to pathos can be 'a rampant instance of Plato's worst nightmare' - rhetoric in the service of falsehood rather than truth (Marsh 98).

However, Antony does not completely neglect logos, or logical reasoning. While he focuses primarily on emotional appeals, he also subtly presents evidence that undermines Brutus's claims, such as reminding the crowd of Caesar's refusal to accept a crown. This judicious use of logical appeals, combined with his mastery of ethos and pathos, allows Antony to craft a compelling and multifaceted message that resonates profoundly with his target audience (Varpio 210).

# **Ethos**

Antony initially presents himself as humble and respectful of the conspirators, particularly Brutus. He repeatedly calls Brutus 'an honourable man', appearing to agree with him. This establishes a sense of trustworthiness and allows the crowd to be more receptive to his message, even as he subtly undermines Brutus's claims. In the beginning Brutus

claims, 'Believe me for mine honour, and have respect to mine honour, that you may believe' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.15-17). Antony answers him by saying, 'He was my friend, faithful and just to me' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.94) and 'I speak not to disprove what Brutus spoke, / But here I am to speak what I do know' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.109-110).

#### **Pathos**

Antony expertly manipulates the crowd's emotions. He uses vivid imagery to describe Caesar's wounds, displays Caesar's blood stained cloak, and even pauses to weep, mirroring the crowd's grief and stirring their anger against the assassins. By the end of his speech, he has effectively transformed their sorrow into a desire for revenge.

In the beginning Antony says, 'My heart is in the coffin there with Caesar, / And I must pause till it come back to me' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.109-110). He later says, 'When that the poor have cried, Caesar hath wept: / Ambition should be made of sterner stuff' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.100-101). He later adds, 'Look, in this place ran Cassius' dagger through: / See what a rent the envious Casca made' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.186-187).

#### Logos

While Antony claims to 'speak not to disprove what Brutus spoke' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.109), he strategically presents evidence that contradicts Brutus's assertion that Caesar was ambitious. He reminds the crowd of Caesar's refusal of the crown, his generosity towards Rome, and reads from Caesar's will, which leaves money to every citizen. This appeals to the crowd's sense of reason and plants seeds of doubt about the conspirators' motives. He avers, 'He hath brought many captives home to Rome / Whose ransoms did the general coffers fill: / Did this in Caesar seem ambitious?' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.97-99). Again he avers, 'You all did see that on the Lupercal / I thrice presented him a kingly crown, / Which he did thrice refuse: was this ambition?' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.104-106). In the middle of his speech, he says, 'I found it in his closet, 'tis his will: / Let but the commons hear this testament' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.140-141).

# Measuring the Results

Antony's speech in Julius Caesar exemplifies the key elements of a successful communication strategy. By defining clear goals and objectives, identifying his target audience, crafting a compelling message, and skilfully utilising various rhetorical devices and communication channels, Antony was able to sway public opinion and pave the way for his ultimate objective of avenging Caesar's assassination. The success of Antony's strategy is evident in the immediate and long-term consequences of his speech. Shakespeare provides ample evidence of the crowd's dramatic shift in attitude, moving from hesitant acceptance of Brutus's justification to outright fury and demands for revenge. This transformation is evident in many lines.

Early in Antony's speech, the crowd is sympathetic to Brutus: 'Methinks there is much reason in his sayings' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.118). This shows initial agreement with Brutus's reasons for killing Caesar. As Antony continues, doubt and anger begin to surface:

'Caesar has had great wrong' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.120). The crowd starts to question the justification for Caesar's murder. 'If it be found so, some will dear abide it' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.126). A hint of potential repercussions for the conspirators emerges. By the end of Antony's speech, the crowd is enraged and ready for revenge: 'Revenge! About! Seek! Burn! Fire! Kill! Slay! Let not a traitor live!' (JC, Act III, Scene 2, 1.216). This quote definitively shows the crowd's transformation into an angry mob, ready to avenge Caesar.

Ultimately, Antony incites the very mutiny he aimed for, as the crowd, fuelled by his words, becomes a force that the conspirators cannot contain. The very fact that this speech continues to be analysed and celebrated centuries later speaks to the enduring power of Antony's rhetorical skill.

#### Conclusion

Antony's speech in Julius Caesar stands as a master-class in effective communication. By understanding the needs and emotions of his audience, crafting a persuasive message, and strategically delivering it, Antony was able to achieve his desired outcome of turning the Roman populace against the conspirators. This analysis has demonstrated the enduring relevance of rhetorical principles and communication strategies, even in a historical context. Antony's speech serves as a testament to the power of language to shape perceptions, influence beliefs, and incite action. Antony's keen understanding and masterful manipulation of rhetoric, particularly his strategic use of repetition, rhetorical questions, and irony, were crucial to swaying the crowd and achieving his ultimate goal.

# **Works Cited**

- Altman, Joel B. *The Improbability of Othello:* Rhetorical Anthropology and Shakespearean Selfhood. University of Chicago Press, 2010.
- Andrews, John F. William Shakespeare: His World, His Work, His Influence. Charles Scribner's Sons, 1985.
- Bitzer, Lloyd F. "The Rhetorical Situation." *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, vol. 1, no. 1, 1968, pp. 1-14.
- Dimitrova, Miryana. *Julius Caesar's Self-Created Image and Its Dramatic Afterlife*. Bloomsbury Publishing, 2018.
- Dollimore, Jonathan. Radical Tragedy: Religion, Ideology and Power in the Drama of Shakespeare and his Contemporaries. The Harvester Press, 1984.
- Freeman, Jane. "Fair Terms and a Villain's Mind: Rhetorical Patterns in *The Merchant of Venice*." *Rhetorica: A Journal of the History of Rhetoric*, vol. 20, no. 2, 2002, pp. 149-72.
- Greenblatt, Stephen. *The Norton Shakespeare: Comedies / Histories / Tragedies / Romances*.
  W.W. Norton, 2008.
- Hall, Stuart. "Encoding/Decoding." Culture, Media,

- Language: Working Papers in Cultural Studies, 1972-79, Routledge, 2003.
- Harrison, G. B. *Shakespeare's Tragedies*. Routledge, 2013.
- Hymes, Dell. "Models of the Interaction of Language and Social Life". *Directions in Sociolinguistics: The Ethnography of Communication*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1972.
- Knight, G. Wilson. *The Crown of Life: Essays in Interpretation of Shakespeare's Final Plays*. Routledge, 2002.
- Krishnan, Isai Amutan, et al. "Using Rhetorical Approach of Ethos, Pathos and Logos by Malaysian Engineering Students in Persuasive Email Writings." *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, vol. 5, no. 4, 2020, pp. 19-33.
- Marsh, Charles W. "Public Relations Ethics: Contrasting Models from the Rhetorics of Plato, Aristotle, and Isocrates." *Journal of Mass Media Ethics*, vol. 16, no. 2-3, 2001, pp. 78-98.
- McNair, Brian. *An Introduction to Political Communication*. Routledge, 2017.
- Menon, Anil, et al. "Use of Literature to Illustrate the Principles of Marketing: A Familiarity-Based Approach." *Journal of Marketing Education*, vol. 10, no. 1, 1988, pp. 50-57.
- Noelle-Neumann, Elisabeth. *The Spiral of Silence:* Public Opinion-Our Social Ski. University of Chicago Press, 1993.
- Varpio, Lara. "Using Rhetorical Appeals to Credibility, Logic, and Emotions to Increase your Persuasiveness." *The Writer's Craft*, vol. 7, no. 3, 2018, pp. 207-10.

### **Author Details**

**Suresh Frederick,** Associate Professor & UG Head, Department of English, Bishop Heber College, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India, **Email ID**: sfheber@gmail.com