OPEN ACCESS

Volume: 11

Special Issue: 1

Month: May

Year: 2024

P-ISSN: 2321-4643

E-ISSN: 2581-9402

Received: 09.04.2024

Accepted: 11.05.2024

Published: 20.05.2024

Citation:
Harene, J., and R. Julie, Lumina. "The Influence of Behavioural Factor on Retail Investors Decision Making in IPO Investment." *Shanlax International Journal of Management,* vol. 11, no. S1, 2024, pp. 10–17.

DOI:
https://doi.org/10.34293/management.v11iS1-May.7833

The Influence of Behavioural Factor on Retail Investors Decision Making in IPO Investment

Harene J
II - MBA, Department of Management Studies
Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai

Dr. R. Lumina Julie
Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies
Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology
Chennai

Abstract
This study explains about the influence of behavioural factors on retail investors in decision-making processes when considering the investments in Initial Public Offerings (IPOs). IPOs represent an attracting investment opportunity for the retail investors, often they are characterized by significant up-and-downs and uncertainty. Understanding the psychological factors for guiding investors decisions in this factor is crucial for both investors and the market regulators. Outlining over the behavioural finance theories and the actual evidence, this research explores various psychological biases and emotional influences that may affect the retail investors during the IPO investment decision-making. psychological biases such as overconfidence, group behaviour, and loosing, along with the emotional factors like fear of missing out (FOMO) and loss aversion, are analyzed in the factors of IPO investments. Here the methodology involves a combination of quantitative analysis, utilizing survey data from the retail investors, and the qualitative examination of relevant literature and case studies. By analyzing the behavioural factors influencing the IPO investment decisions this research study intends to give understandings right into the primary mechanisms driving retail investors behaviour in IPO markets.
Keywords: Yearly Cost savings, Financial Investment Decisions, IPOs as well as Retail Investors.

Introduction

Initial Public Offerings (IPOs) is an important discovery for the companies who are looking to raise their funds and to grow their business. They offer an attracting chance for the investors to get involved in the initial phases of a company's development journey. IPO investments mostly involve significant riskiness, unsteady, and information uneven, making it difficult for the retail investors. While facing these challenges, retail investors do not depend only on the logical assessment of financial data but also consider the behavioural influences in their decision-making. Behavioural finance blends the psychology with traditional finance to explain how the investors decisions are influenced by the reasoning biases and the emotional reactions. When it comes to investing in the IPOs, retail investors may display the various behavioural quality that have been separated from logical decision-making, which could affect their investment results. This study aims to leak the reasoning biases and emotional factors influencing retail investors who choices to invest in the IPO offerings by exploring the psychological foundations of investor behaviour. Elaborating these factors is essential in enhancing investment strategies, bettering investors results, and promoting their market efficiency.

Objectives

- To identify key behavioral biases affecting retail investor's IPO decisions.
- To explore how the risk insights affects the IPO investment choices among the retail investors.
- To investigate the role of social influences and peer pressure on the retail investors towards IPO participation.

Review of Literature

Vaidyanathan R. (2011)"An research of First Public Offerings on the Indian National Stock Exchange ".The scientist's essential unbiased was to assume around the analyzing of IPOs in the NSE."" The experts ended that the demand developed for a problem in the middle of publication structure and also the uploading hold-up emphatically influence the to begin with day beneath approximating though the impact of cash looked at on the showcasing of the IPO is trivial. The experts furthermore wrapped up that the message IPO efficiency in one month after the uploading for the companies beneath consider is adverse.

Sharma, S. K. (2012), has assessed "The Investor Preference and Promotor's Ownership Pattern in Graded IPOs of India".Their essential objective of the consider is to find the assessing of IPO is utilized as a preface of wander choices by the retail budgetary pros. The comes approximately shows up that degree of issued share capital of an IPO is oppositely (oppositely) related with IPO reviewing.

Jignesh B. Shahet et al. (2013) in their inquire about, "Capital Market: Trends in India and abroad – impact of IPO Scam an Indian Capital Market". concluded that, the later IPO Trick shows that indeed a exceedingly mechanized framework will not avoid acts of neglect. But steps ought to be taken by SEBI to confine such IPO Trick by applying know your client (KYC) and interesting recognizable proof number to advertise players and investors.

N Khatri (2017) carried out explore on "Variables influencing speculators endeavors in IPO" making use of crucial info. It was discovered 90 participants were business owners, 87 were strategy 52 were contractor and also 71 were master. 72 -respondents traded in supply as well as IPO for 0 to 2 year 134 participants traded for 2 to 5 a very long time, 65respondents traded for 5 TO ten years plus 29 participants traded in supply.

Jasiniak (2018) carried out research study on "Determinants of financial investment Decisions on the Capital market" making use of vital info together with mentions that this consider shows that financial effectiveness has a favorable effect on possibility resistance Speculation Involvement has a damaging influence on danger resistance Threat Resilience has no effect on endeavor choices.

Manu and Saini (2020) carried out examine on "Valuation Analysis of Initial Public Offer (IPO): The Case of India" making use of the fundamental information together with states that the think about appears the regular consist of up to return provided by the selected IPOs on the listing day is 23.67 and also the uncommon return provided by these IPOs repeatedly the display return is 23.14 which are exceptionally remarkable.

Dave (2022) carried out explore " A Research research on Factors Influencing Listing Gains Of IPOSA"utilizing Assistant resources of Data. The paper shows that choices to buy economic advertise are affected by a number of monetary plus behavior elements called preventative elements such as Overconfidence Acceptance as well as Tying down disposition influences the choice production of a person. These parts can be considered aid take a look at paper.

Research Methodology

Research Design

As the study aims to at observe and describe relationships between variables, ascertain the ways in which distinct demographic groups react to a certain service, and gather information about the sample's preferences for decision-making, we used this design on the research.

Non-Probability Method

We used this method of sampling as it can be used for quantitative research, where the population of responses are infinite.

Snowball Sampling

We have taken this sampling method as it is applicable in quantitative research and that's an respondent-driven sampling. The research is predicated on the sample participants and other people who have the potential to take part in the research.

Research Instrument: A structured set of questions is established together with provided to the example of 150 participants.

Primary Data: The Primary Data accumulated from the individual capitalists on the facility of well described study bordered plus taken care of to accumulate reactions.

Secondary Data: The Secondary Data accumulated via study of creating from high quality Diaries, Books, Websites as well as Blogs, News Papers, Distributed as well as Unpublished Theses from different college collections. The top quality diaries are gotten to via well-known data sources such as Emerald, JSTOR, Taylor as well as Francis, Indian Diary Promoting, EBSCO, Master Journey, along with Google Scholar.

Research Model

Dependent Variables

- Retail Investors Decision Making in IPO Investments.

Independent Variables

- Risk Perception
- Familiarity Bias
- Social Influence

Hypothesis

Null Hypothesis (H_0): There is no substantial connection in between the aspects of Financial investment Decision.

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): There is a substantial partnership in between the aspects of Financial investment Decision. Financial investment Decision.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

AGE		
Description	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
20-25	57	38

26-30	29	19.3
31-35	28	18.7
36-40	30	20
Above 40	6	4
Total	**150**	**100**

Inference: The table show that 38% of the sample size is 20-25 and 20% is 36-40 and 19.3% is 26-30 and 18.7% is 31-35 and remaining 4% is Above 40. So, we can conclude that the majority of the IPO investors are in the age group of 20-25.

Gender

Gender		
Description	**Frequency**	**Percentage**
Male	51	34
Female	80	53.3
Prefer not to say	19	12.7
Total	**150**	**100**

Inference: The table show that 53.3% of the sample size is Female and 34% is male and 12.7% are not interested to mention their gender. So, we can conclude that the majority IPO investors is higher in Females than in Males.

Correlation

Hypothesis

Null Hypothesis (H_0): There is no considerable partnership in between overconfidence as well as the retail capitalists decision-making in IPO financial investments.

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): There is a considerable favorable partnership in between overconfidence as well as the retail capitalists decision-making in IPO financial investments.

Correlations					
		Over Confidence	**Loss Aversion**	**Herding Behaviour**	**Retail Investors Decision Making**
Over Confidence	Pearson Correlation	1	.635**	.689**	.714**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000
	N	149	148	146	145
Loss Aversion	Pearson Correlation	.635**	1	.702**	.632**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000
	N	148	149	146	145
Herding Behaviour	Pearson Correlation	.689**	.702**	1	.770**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000
	N	146	146	146	142

Retail Investors Decision Making	Pearson Correlation	.714**	.632**	.770**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	
	N	145	145	142	146
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).					

Inference: As the significant value of the factors of Investment Decision is lesser than 0.05, there exists a Positive relationship between them.

Regression Analysis

Hypothesis

Null Hypothesis (H_0): Loss Aversion does not have a significant effect on Retail Investors Decision Making.

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): Loss Aversion has a significant effect on Retail Investors Decision Making.

Model Summary				
Model	**R**	**R Square**	**Adjusted R Square**	**Std. Error of the Estimate**
1	.809[a]	.654	.647	2.19344
a. Predictors: (Constant), Herding Behaviour, Loss Aversion, Over Confidence				

ANOVA[a]						
Model		**Sum of Squares**	**df**	**Mean Square**	**F**	**Sig.**
1	Regression	1256.431	3	418.810	87.050	.000b
	Residual	663.942	138	4.811		
	Total	1920.373	141			
a. Dependent Variable: Retail Investors Decision Making						
b. Predictors: (Constant), Herding Behaviour, Loss Aversion, Over Confidence						

Coefficients[a]						
Model		**Unstandardized Coefficients**		**Standardized Coefficients**	**t**	**Sig.**
		B	**Std. Error**	**Beta**		
1	(Constant)	1.017	.840		1.211	.228
	Over Confidence	.296	.071	.312	4.149	.000
	Loss Aversion	.092	.078	.088	1.179	.240
	Herding Behaviour	.386	.062	.488	6.204	.000
a. Dependent Variable: Retail Investors Decision Making						

Inference: The model explains approximately 65.4% of the variance in retail investors' decision-making. The ANOVA results indicate that the regression model is statistically significant (F(3, 138) = 87.050, p < .0001).Among the predictors, "Over Confidence" and "Herding Behaviour" have significant positive effects on retail investors' decision-making (p < .0001). However, "Loss Aversion" does not significantly affect the decision-making process (p = 0.240).The model's constant term is not statistically substantial (p = 0.228), showing that it might not be required for the version.

Chi-Square Analysis

Hypothesis

- **Null Hypothesis (H_0)**: There is no significant association between age and gender.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H_1)**: There is a significant association between age and gender.

Case Processing Summary						
	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Age * Gender	150	99.3%	1	0.7%	151	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests			
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.344[a]	8	.013
Likelihood Ratio	16.786	8	.032
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.368	1	.004
N of Valid Cases	150		
a. 6 cells (40.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .76.			

Inference: The cells have expected counts below 5, with the smallest expected count being 0.76, indicating potential limitations in the reliability of the results.

ANOVA

Hypothesis

Null Hypothesis (H_0): There is no considerable distinction in Retail Investors Decision Making throughout various degrees of Over Confidence.

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): There is a considerable distinction in Retail Investors Decision Making throughout various degrees of Over Confidence.

ANOVA						
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Over Confidence	Between Groups	184.863	4	46.216	3.295	.013
	Within Groups	2019.446	144	14.024		
	Total	2204.309	148			
Loss Aversion	Between Groups	90.719	4	22.680	1.923	.110
	Within Groups	1697.979	144	11.792		
	Total	1788.698	148			
Herding Behaviour	Between Groups	358.785	4	89.696	4.621	.002
	Within Groups	2736.886	141	19.411		
	Total	3095.671	145			

Inference: The ANOVA results suggest that Over Confidence and Herding Behaviour have a significant influence on Retail Investors Decision Making, while Loss Aversion does not have a significant influence.

Summary of Findings

- The correlation analysis revealed significant positive correlations between Over Confidence, Loss Aversion, Herding Behaviour, and Retail Investors Decision Making (all $p < 0.01$).
- These findings suggest that higher levels of Over Confidence, Loss Aversion, and Herding Behaviour are associated with more positive decision-making in IPO investments.
- The regression analysis indicated that the model, including Over Confidence, Loss Aversion, and Herding Behaviour, explains approximately 65.4% of the variance in Retail Investors Decision Making.
- The ANOVA results revealed that the regression version is statistically substantial (F(3 138) = 87.050, p < 0.0001).
- Among the predictors, Over Confidence and Herding Behaviour significantly positively affect Retail Investors Decision Making (both $p < 0.0001$), while Loss Aversion does not have a significant effect ($p = 0.240$).
- The constant term in the model was not statistically significant ($p = 0.228$), indicating its potential insignificance for the model.
- Hypothesis: Tests the association between age and gender.
- Findings: Some cells have anticipated matters listed below 5, suggesting possible constraints in the dependability of the outcomes.
- Inference: Caution is warranted due to potential limitations in the reliability of the results.
- The ANOVA results further supported the findings, showing that Over Confidence and Herding Behaviour have a significant influence on Retail Investors Decision Making.
- However, Loss Aversion did not demonstrate a significant difference in Retail Investors Decision Making across different levels.

Suggestions

- In the information investigation and elucidation, it is apparent that IPO speculators overwhelmingly drop inside the age bracket of 20-25 a long time, demonstrating a young speculator statistic.
- Additionally, gender-wise, females constitute the larger part of IPO speculators compared to guys.
- Relationship examination highlights a critical positive relationship between overconfidence, misfortune abhorrence, crowding behavior, and retail investors' decision-making in IPO ventures.
- Relapse investigation encourage affirms the significant affect of overconfidence and crowding behavior on decision-making, while misfortune revultion appears no critical impact.
- In any case, the Chi-square examination proposes potential impediments due to anticipated tallies underneath 5.
- At last, ANOVA uncovers that overconfidence and grouping behavior essentially impact investors' decision-making, though misfortune abhorrence does not.
- These experiences give profitable suggestions for understanding and strategizing IPO ventures.

Conclusion

The findings of this study shed light on the multifaceted nature of retail investors' decision-making in Initial Public Offering (IPO) investments. Through the analysis of responses to the questionnaire, several key insights have emerged. It is evident that retail investors are significantly influenced by external factors such as the perceived reputation of the company going public and the media hype surrounding an IPO. This suggests that the image and publicity surrounding an IPO play a crucial role in shaping investor sentiment and decisions. Moreover, past performance of IPOs, familiarity with the industry, and recommendations from peers are also influential factors in investors' decision-making processes. These findings underscore the importance of investors' prior experiences, knowledge, and social networks in guiding their investment choices. Additionally, behavioral biases such as fear of missing out (FOMO) and emotional responses to investment opportunities contribute to investors' decision-making, highlighting the role of psychological factors in shaping investment behavior. Furthermore, factors related to the IPO itself, such as pricing and perceived scarcity of shares, also impact investors' decisions. This suggests that the structural characteristics of an IPO, along with market dynamics, influence investor perceptions and actions.

References

1. Srinivas, L., & Rao, P. V. (2017). A Study on factors influencing IPO decisions among retail individual investors in Visakhapatnam. International Journal of Research in Economics and Social Sciences (IJRESS), 7(9), 613-620.
2. Mittal, S., Gupta, N., & Sharma, S. K. (2012). Investor Preference and Promoter's Ownership Pattern in Graded IPOs of India. Indian Journal of Finance, 6(12), 18-25.
3. Jignesh B. Shah and SmitaVarodkar , November (2013) "Capital Market: Trends in India and abroad – impact of IPO Scam an Indian Capital Market", published in the Souvenir, All India Accounting Conference, November (2013).
4. Khatri, N. N. (2017). Factors influencing investors investment in initial public offering. International Journal of Management and Applied Science, 3(7), 41-49.
5. Jasiniak, M. (2018). Determinants of investment decisions on the capital market. Financial Internet Quarterly, 14(2), 1-8.
6. Manu, K. S., & Saini, C. (2020). Valuation Analysis of Initial Public Offer (IPO): The Case of India. Paradigm, 24(1), 7-21.
7. Sharma, D. S., Mittal, K., & Srivastava, S. P. (2021). Factors Influencing Investment Decision: A Study Of Individual Equity Investors. Elementary Education Online, 20(1), 6832-6842.
8. Khan, M. A., Zeeshan, K., Ahmad, F., Alakkas, A. A., & Farooqi, R. (2021). A study of stock performance of select IPOS in India. Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal, 25(6), 1-11.
9. Dave, R. A Research Study on Factors Influencing Listing Gains of IPOS.