A STUDY ON CUSTOMER BRAND AWARENESS TOWARDS SONY LED TV IN DINDIGUL DISTRICT

P.Vijayalakshmi

Associate Professor, PSNA College of Engineering and Technology, Dindigul

Abstract

This article is about Sony LED TV. It highlights the brand awareness of Sony LEDTV with other brands. Primary objective is to find out the consumer brand awareness and perception towards Sony LED TV with special reference to Dindigul District. The survey was conducted to 150 respondents by using questionnaire method in this study percentage analysis and Chi-square method is used.

Key words: consumer awareness, LED TV

Introduction

The electronic industry in India took off around 1965. There was rapid growth followed by developments in consumer electronic mainly with transistor, radios Black& white TV, calculators and other audio products. Colour television soon followed.

The period between 1984 and 1990 was the golden period for electronics during which the industry witnessed continuous and rapid growth. In recent years the electronic industry is growing at a brisk pace. It currently worth about US\$150 billion and the industry is estimated to reach US\$350 billion by 2020. This growth has attracted global players to market in India.

Objectives of the Study

- To analyze the brand awareness of Sony LED TV.
- To analyze the Sony LED TV market share.
- To find the Sonys' competitive advantage of Sony LED TV.
- To find the satisfactory level of the customers in using Sony LED TV.

Scope of the Study

- It helps the firm to understand the market conduction of the Sony LED TV in Dindigul District.
- It helps to know about the brand awareness and market share of Sony LED TV.
- The study also helps to know the competitive advantage, Expectations of customers and their satisfactory level.
 - Limitations of the study:
- The collected data for the present study was confined only for 150 respondents.
- The study covers only one variant of competing brands that includes only LED TV
- The respondents were less interested in answering the questionnaire.
- The survey was confined to Dindigul District only.

Research Methodology

- Primary data
- Secondary data

Research Design

Descriptive research design - This includes surveys and fact finding enquiries of different kinds.

Statistical Tools Used

Percentage Method and Chi-Square Method.

Percentage Method

$$Percentage of Re spondents = \frac{\text{No. of respondents favorable}}{\text{Total No. of Re spondents}} \times 100$$

Chi-Square Method (X²)

Table 1: Distribution of sample respondents on the basis of gender

| Gender | No of respondents | Percentage (%) |
|--------|-------------------|----------------|
| Male | 105 | 70 |
| Female | 45 | 30 |
| Total | 150 | 100 |

Table 2: Distribution of sample respondents on the basis of Age

| Age | No of respondents | Percentage (%) |
|----------|-------------------|----------------|
| Below 25 | 34 | 23 |
| 26-50 | 75 | 50 |
| 51-75 | 41 | 27 |
| Total | 150 | 100 |

Table 3: Distribution of sample respondents on the basis of Occupation

| Occupation | No of respondents | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Govt employees | 30 | 20 |
| Private employees | 65 | 43 |
| Professional employees | 37 | 25 |
| Others | 18 | 12 |
| Total | 150 | 100 |

Table 4: Distribution of sample respondents on the basis of income level

| Income Level | No of respondents | Percentage (%) |
|---------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Below 100000 | 13 | 09 |
| 100001-200000 | 30 | 20 |
| 200001-300000 | 45 | 30 |
| Above 300001 | 62 | 41 |
| Total | 150 | 100 |

Table 5: Distribution of sample respondents on the basis of Location

| Location | No of respondents | Percentage (%) |
|------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Rural | 18 | 12 |
| Semi-Urban | 57 | 38 |
| Urban | 75 | 50 |
| Total | 150 | 100 |

Table 6: Distribution of sample respondents on the basis of Competitor

| Competitor | No of respondents | Percentage (%) |
|------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Samsung | 105 | 70 |
| LG | 45 | 29 |
| Hitachi | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 150 | 100 |

Table 7: Distribution of sample respondents on the basis of Awareness

| Awareness | No of respondents Percentage | |
|------------|------------------------------|-----|
| News paper | 30 | 20 |
| TV Ads | 45 | 30 |
| Friends | 13 | 09 |
| Show room | 62 | 41 |
| Total | 150 | 100 |

Table 8: Distribution of sample respondents on the basis of Expectations

| Expectations | No of respondents | Percentage (%) |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Quality | 83 | 55 |
| Style | 35 | 23 |
| Durability | 08 | 6 |
| Brand Name | 24 | 16 |
| Total | 150 | 100 |

Table 9: Distribution of sample respondents on the basis of after sale service

| Satisfactory level | No of respondents | Percentage (%) | |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|
| Highly Satisfied | 47 | 31 | |
| Neutral | 55 | 37 | |
| Dissatisfied | 48 | 32 | |
| Total | 150 | 100 | |

Table 10: Relationship between location and awareness

| | | = | | |
|------------|-------|------------|-------|-------|
| Awareness | Rural | Semi-Urban | Urban | Total |
| News paper | 6 | 11 | 13 | 30 |
| TV Ads | 4 | 20 | 21 | 45 |
| Friends | 0 | 05 | 08 | 13 |
| Show room | 8 | 21 | 33 | 62 |
| Total | 18 | 57 | 75 | 150 |

Volume 3 Issue 3 January 2016 ISSN: 2321 – 4643

Null Hypothesis Ho: There is no significance relationship between location and awareness. Alternative Hypothesis H_1 : There is significance relationship between location and awareness.

Calculated x^2 value = 5.192 Degree of freedom = 6 Table value = 0.519

Significant level = Significant at 5% level

Inference

The calculated value is greater than the table value, therefore Ho is rejected. There is significance relationship between location and awareness

Table 11: Relationship between Price and income level

| Income level | Very costly | Costly | Medium | Total |
|---------------|-------------|--------|--------|-------|
| Below 100000 | 6 | 06 | 01 | 13 |
| 100001-200000 | 21 | 09 | 00 | 30 |
| 200001-300000 | 27 | 18 | 00 | 45 |
| Above 300001 | 32 | 29 | 01 | 62 |
| Total | 86 | 62 | 02 | 150 |

Null Hypothesis Ho: There is no significance relationship between Price and Income level. Alternative Hypothesis H_1 : There is significance relationship between Price and Income level.

Calculated x^2 value = 7.980 Degree of freedom = 6 Table value = 0.240

Significant level = Significant at 5%level

Inference

The calculated value is greater than the table value, therefore Ho is rejected. There is significance relationship between Price and Income level.

Findings of the Study

- 1. Majority 70% of the sample respondents are males
- 2. Majority 50% of the sample respondents are in the age group of 26-50.
- 3. Majority 43% of the sample respondents are using Sony LED TV are Private employees.
- 4. Majority 41% of the sample respondents are getting above 300000 as their annual income.
- 5. Majority 50% of the sample respondents are residing in Urban.
- 6. Majority 70% of the sample respondents says Samsung is the best Competitor for Sony LED TV.

- 7. Majority 41% of the sample respondents have gathered awareness about Sony LED TV through show rooms.
- 8. Majority 55% of the sample respondents expect quality of the product.
- 9. Majority 37% of the sample respondents are neutral with after sale service.
- 10. There is significance relationship between Location and awareness.
- 11. There is significance relationship between Price and Income level.

Suggestions of the Study

- 1. The company shall concentrate on below 26 years for improving new sales.
- 2. The company shall concentrate more in Semi-Urban to increase sales.
- 3. The company can be innovative and keep updating about market and improve customer satisfaction
- 4. The customers feel that Price of LED TV is costly therefore company may reduce by eliminating waste and unnecessary expenses
- 5. The company should take steps to improve after service.

Conclusion

The study is aimed at analyzing market study towards Sony LED TV with reference to Dindigul District. Marketing create customers to the product. It is clear Sony is preferred by customers. The important variable of the product is Price and Quality. Due care to given for after sales service with which Sony LED TV can have better market share in future.

References

- 1. LED TV: Technology Overview and the DLP® Advantage
- 2. A Study On Consumer Satisfaction Towards Led TV In Thanjavur District G.R.Gayathiri; R. Sathya