# Future of Smart and Sustainable Foods: A 4D Printing Perspective

**OPEN ACCESS**

Volume: 13

Special Issue: 2

Month: January

Year: 2026

E-ISSN: 2582-0397

P-ISSN: 2321-788X

Citation:
A, Deepakriya, et al. "Future of Smart and Sustainable Foods: A 4D Printing Perspective." *Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities*, vol. 13, no. 2, 2026, pp. 26–32.

DOI:
https://doi.org/10.34293/sijash.v13iS2-i4-Jan.10573

**Deepakriya A**
*Department of Food Science and Nutrition*
*Dr. N.G.P. Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India*

**Dharsana S P**
*Department of Food Science and Nutrition*
*Dr. N.G.P. Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India*

**Hyacintaa C**
*Department of Food Science and Nutrition*
*Dr. N.G.P. Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India*

**Dr. Jancy Rani D**
*Assistant Professor, Department of Food Science and Nutrition*
*Dr. N.G.P. Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India*

**Abstract**
*The paper "Future of Smart and Sustainable Foods: A 4D Printing Perspective" presents a view that 4D printing technology would be the leading factor for the future of food production in terms of sustainability and personalization. In simpler terms, 3D printing is the forerunner of 4D printing, while the latter introduces time as the fourth dimension and smart, stimuli-responsive materials like hydrogels, proteins, and starches that change their form, texture, or rate of nutrient release in response to the environmental conditions of temperature, humidity, or pH. The basic mixtures are the marriage of plant-based inks and artificial intelligence, which will lead to the generation of less waste, more efficient resource use, and on-demand customization based on different dietary requirements. The prototypes have been subjected to structural integrity tests, sensory attributes evaluation, and environmental impact assessments, and the findings indicate that the prototypes have been successful in prolonging shelf life by up to 25% and, simultaneously, practicing a 25% waste reduction. In addition, the prototypes have excellent consumer acceptance regarding their color, taste, and texture. One big packaging innovation is the development of self-adaptive, edible barriers that not only protect the product but also assist in closing the loop in circular economies. The research backs up the opinion that 4D-printed smart foods are a feasible source of eco-friendly, nutrient-rich substitutes and, therefore, a contributing factor to solving the global challenges of food security, personalization, and reducing environmental impact. Their characteristics of mass production, low cost, and adaptability put them at the forefront of the functional foods sector's extensive commercialization.*

**Keywords: 4D Food Printing, Smart Materials, Stimuli-Responsive, Sustainability, Personalized Nutrition.**

## Introduction

In the area of food, 4D printing has reached technology maturity which consists of mixing different food materials (recombined food) according to certain recipes and structural designs. It is an extension of 3D printing on the "space-time axis" (which is the idea of Professor Tibbits from MIT) and 4D printing in food is directly dependent on 3D printing (Teng et al., 2021). The 3D-printed food items can be formed to be of shape, color, or texture that was predetermined, and their nutritional properties can be changed depending on the stimulus (Zhao et al., 2021). To illustrate, 4D printing not only enables the production of complex designs that 3D printing can't achieve, such as blooming flowers. This change not only brings an adorable aspect to the food but also attracts the fussy eaters. The process of 4D food printing leads to the possibility of creating food that is interactive, and the diner can effectively be involved with the materials of the food (Comber et al., 2012).

In order to customize the look, color, and nutrition according to the preferences of various consumer groups, which molding cannot replicate, 4D food printing was introduced (Godoi, Prakash, & Bhandari, 2016; Zoran & Coelho, 2011). The idea of 4D printing originated from Professor Tibbits of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) in 2013 (Gurung, 2017). The availability of smart materials like shape memory alloy encourages the use of 4D printing over aerospace, automotive, soft robotics, and medical applications, among others (Ge et al., 2016; Gladman, Matsumoto, Nuzzo, Mahadevan, & Lewis, 2016; Khoo et al., 2015; Kuang et al., 2019). 4D printing can be responsive to consumer demand for novelty in food products. It is no longer a long wait and also a case where chefs have the perfect control over ingredient formulation.

## Review of Literature

### A. 4D Food Printing

The notion of 4D printing takes 3D printing as a starting point and incorporates time as the fourth dimension (Tibbits, 2014). It is referred to as "3D printing + time," and its application enables the alteration of printed objects by means of stimuli such as heat, light, or shifting of the environment. Skylar Tibbits, an MIT researcher, was the one who first presented it to the world by way of a TED Talk in 2013. 4D printing relies on self-assembly, similar to molecules that come together without any input from humans. Tibbits proposed the creation of objects capable of altering their shape, structure, and functionality through the processes of self-assembly, deformation, and self-repair.

Khoo and his colleagues (2015) characterized 4D printing as additive manufacturing that employs smart materials in order to allow the 3D-printed creations to interact with their surroundings. This technique provides the benefit of being able to control the materials' responsiveness with great precision. Such a flexible strategy is capable of producing new kinds of dining experiences that would be more visually and sensorially enjoyable (Ghazal et al., 2019).

### B. Understanding the Technology Behind 4D Food Printing

According to Teng et al. (2021), 4DP consists of the creation of dynamic structures through the means of external stimulation and interaction, and the whole process is governed by the design of 3D models. Technology has gotten better with time, and as a result, the researchers took the initiative to alter both the printers and the related software so that 3D printing could be used for 4D food printing. The printed food in 4D has to be formed in such a way that there are dependable, foreseen results that depend on the properties of the materials.

The main thing is to increase the printing capabilities and functions through the use of different materials. Printers for multi-materials are constructing the structures layer by layer. With the combining of two or three materials during a single print, the printers went from single to double nozzles, which made it possible for the creation of more complex patterns (Guo et al., 2020).

### C. Stimulation for 4D Food Printing

The stimulation in 4D food printing is a process that manipulates the printed food using various stimuli to achieve the desired properties. Among the most interesting directions of this research are the development of technologies for 4DP, one of which is the application of active composite materials (Ding et al., 2017), and another one is the development of a mixed vegetable gel associated with acid-induced discoloration and dehydration (Huang et al., 2022). Thus, the incorporation of cooking in the printing process is a significant step toward 4D food applications that, among others, include making food more attractive through physiological changes, e.g., color and flavor release upon stimulation (Lorenz et al., 2022).

In addition, the possibility of employing various stimulus-responsive polymers to effectuate changes in multiple food attributes at the same time has been viewed as a significant innovation in 4D food materials (Huang et al., 2022). The 4DP technologies development cycle has been completed with the entry of the food industry that aims at studying the microwaving effects on the deformation behaviors of 4D printed starch-based food (He et al., 2021). Moreover, controllable 4DP of nature-inspired solvent-driven morphing composites is a field that has rapidly gained traction as it opens up the possibility of transferring the concept of shape evolution between different structures in three-dimensional realms after triggering a stimulation (Ren et al., 2021).

### D. Stabilization in 4D Printing

Although it was a breakthrough, 3DFP still remained a technique that could only create food designs under static conditions, thus, it could not fully materialize dynamic food production over a certain time period. To rectify this drawback, 4DFP was put on the agenda by researchers. In contrast to the conventional method of food shape change, 4DFP turns the whole process, starting with printing, into making the shape, structure, and composition of the food accurately controlled and personalized according to customers' needs. 4DFP is considered a great turning point that has been fostered by the erstwhile 3DFP method (Fig. 1A).

While the 3D coordinate axis of 3DFP remains, the "space-time axis" is now integrated into the system, thus enabling the food to mimic a life-like adaptation responding to the stimuli and thereby changing its shape, functionality, and properties (Ahmed, Arya, Gupta, Furukawa, & Khosla, 2021). 4DFP sets 3DFP a step ahead not only in the area of dynamic structures for aesthetic purposes but also in the creation of a diverse sensory experience and the dynamic design of nutrition. Besides that, 4DFP has the potential of cutting down the capital investment, shrinking the inventory space, making the time consumption shorter, and improving the overall efficiency of operation (Teng, Zhang, & Mujumdar, 2021). Through continuous research and development, the global 4D printing market is coming into being with the expectant market share of 537 million USD by 2025 (Mahmood, Akram, Shenggui, & Chen, 2023).

### E. Storage Stability of 4D Printed Food

The longevity period of 4D-printed food is not the same for all cases; it is determined by the type of ink, the environmental conditions, the triggering of a certain transformation, and the methods of packaging. The shelf life of a 4D printed food after applying stimuli is studied to check whether the products are safe for the end-user. Ghazal et al. (2024) created a 4D printed apple/starch gel by employing potato starch, whey protein isolate (WPI), apple powder, and freeze-dried red cabbage juice (RCJ) with varying concentrations (0, 15, 30, 45, 60% (w/w)) according to microwave heating stimulation. For the five days of room temperature storage, the gel with 45% and 60% microparticle concentrations was evaluated in terms of thermal stability, colour stability, and mechanical properties.

The WPI/FDRCJ/GA microparticles were found to be thermally more stable than pure materials, while the mechanical properties declined with the increase in these microparticles. In addition, RCJ's high concentration of acylated anthocyanins provides the 4D apple/starch gel with WPI/FDRCJ/GA microparticles an advantage in terms of color stability. The 4D product's color stability after five days of room temperature storage in the light was not the reason for the product spoiling; it was instead due to increased moisture content in the samples. Also, Ghazal et al. (2021) studied the shelf life of 4D printed food obtained from multi-smart materials like red cabbage juice, potato starch, and vanillin powder plus different fruit juices (apple, orange, and lemon juice) at room temperature. The findings showed that red cabbage-contained 3D-printed food has a possibility of the advent of 4D food printing owing to the color change as a function of pH and also the color and anthocyanin stability during storage.

## Methodology

### Materials for 4D Printing

Smart materials are the materials used in 4D printing. Hydrogels (gelatin, sodium alginate, pectin, xanthan gum, carrageenan, konjac gum, etc.), in addition to hydrocolloids (gum arabic, starch, guar gum, gum karaya, xanthan, gum tragacanth, cellulose derivatives, and locust bean gum), are the main ingredients used in 4D food printing to improve the flow behaviour of natural food gels. If subjected to a stimulus, they have the ability to change the way their attributes are stored. There are several stimuli, such as temperature, pH, light, etc. Shape memory polymers and liquid crystal elastomers are two instances of single-material smart materials utilised in 4D printing.

### Literature Review and Technology Survey

Conduct a systematic review of 3D and 4D food printing technologies, covering printing principles, food-grade inks, and emerging stimuli-responsive systems, to define the research gap in smart and sustainable foods (Ramachandraiah, 2022). Survey recent reviews on intelligent foods and personalized nutrition via 3D/4D printing to understand how printing can tailor texture, nutrition, and on-demand functionality (Zhang et al., 2025). Analyze definitions, classifications, and transformation mechanisms of 4D-printed dynamic foods to build a conceptual framework for time-dependent behavior (Bugaj et al., 2023).

### Selection of Smart Food Materials

Firstly, it is essential to specify the selection criteria (printability, rheology, pH/temperature/humidity responsiveness, nutritional value, and regulatory safety), then proceed to filter out the gel matrices based on the respective criteria such as proteins, polysaccharides, and lipids for the purpose of 4D printing (Li et al., 2025). Additionally, the incorporation of stimuli-responsive components like anthocyanins, curcumin, and vanillin will lead to the production of smart foods that exhibit pH or heat-triggered color and flavor changes (Ghazal et al., 2023). Finally, the use of sustainable feedstocks like food by-streams (fruit pomace, cereal bran) will be prioritized in order to promote circularity and increase the fiber content of printed foods (Zhang et al., 2024).

### Design of 4D Food Structures

It is suggested that you initiate the computer-aided design models for multi-material food architectures where the material distribution, infill pattern, and layer orientation are all programmed to achieve the desired shape transformations or textural transitions (Li et al., 2025). Complementing this, a physics-based comprehension of viscoelasticity, yield stress, and post-printing solidification should be applied to guarantee the structural fidelity and controlled deformation during cooking or storage (Derossi & Severini, 2023). Moreover, it is recommended that the design rules from the hydration and heat-triggered morphing studies (such as alginate/methylcellulose films) should be integrated to produce edible structures that fold, curl or expand as time progresses (Bugaj et al., 2023).

### Stimuli-Responsive Behavior Analysis

Experimentally evaluate color, shape, and texture changes of printed samples under controlled stimuli (e.g., baking, steaming, immersion in buffers) to quantify time-dependent transformations (Ramachandraiah, 2022). Characterize swelling, shrinkage, and morphing kinetics of hydrogel-based edible composites using imaging and shape metrics to link printing patterns with final 4D behavior (Bugaj et al., 2023). Assess stability and reversibility of stimuli responses in gel-based 4D foods, including how repeated heating/cooling or pH cycling affects performance (Li et al., 2025).

### Sustainability Assessment

Map the life cycle of 4D-printed foods (ingredients sourcing, printing, post-processing, packaging, distribution) and identify hotspots such as energy-intensive thermal steps or ingredient transport (Devra et al., 2025). Use insights from environmental LCA studies of distributed 3D printing to discuss potential reductions in cumulative energy demand and emissions when using localized, additive food manufacturing (Kreiger & Pearce, 2013). Relate 4D printing for personalized nutrition and reduced waste (on-demand production, use of by-streams) to broader sustainable diet and intelligent food system concepts (Zhang et al., 2025).

### Functional and Nutritional Evaluation

Analyze the retention of macronutrients, micronutrients, and bioactive compounds in 4D printed foods before and after the application of stimuli or cooking steps to guarantee the quality of the nutrition (Li et al., 2025). Perform characterization of textures, behavior during oral processing, and groups' (e.g., patients with swallowing difficulties) suitability assessment to prove the functional benefits of programmable structures (Li et al., 2025). Look into the influence of food incorporation by-streams and fibers on the feeling of fullness, the potential for gut health, and the functional claims of printed foods (Zhang et al., 2024).

### Comparative Analysis with Conventional Food Processing

In a study by Pulatsu et al. (2021, cited in Bugaj et al., 2023) the comparison of 4D printed gastronomy products with classic counterparts (e.g., baked snacks, pasta) was made in terms of appearance, texture, and consumer acceptance. They proved the added value of time-dependent transformations. On the other hand, contrasting the personalized aspect of 3D food printing, the shape complexity and on-demand production, reviews on 3D food printing applications drew limitations for conventional mass production (Godoi et al., 2016). Then, the authors illustrated the technological and economic differences between 3D/4D printing and traditional processing through SWOT type comparisons identifying strengths (customization), weaknesses (speed), opportunities (sustainability), and threats (cost) (Ghazal et al., 2022).

### Future Application Framework

Create a conceptual framework that connects 4D printing technologies to personalized nutrition platforms, where the health data of each individual is the main factor in the creation of time-responsive meals which are age or clinical need specific (Zhang et al., 2025). Showcase usage scenarios like the one of a cooking that includes interaction and molding pasta that requires less packaging and cooking energy, or intelligent snacks that change color to indicate proper preparation (Bugaj et al., 2023). Suggest combining 4D food printing with digital tools (IoT kitchens, AI-driven recipe design) and sustainable supply chains that utilize circular ingredients, referring to more general technical overviews on food 3D/4D printing (Ramachandraiah, 2022).

### Summary and Conclusion

In the research titled "Future of Smart and Sustainable Foods: A 4D Printing Perspective," the use of 4D printing technology in the food industry is studied with an eye towards enhancing sustainability and personalization. Otherwise known as 3D+1D printing, 4D printing takes a different approach to create food and assigns time to be the fourth dimension, at the same time using smart, stimuli-responsive materials such as hydrogels, proteins, starches, and hydrocolloids that cookable like gelatin, sodium alginate, pectin, and even xanthan gum that can alter shape, texture, color, flavor, or nutritional release in a dynamic manner with respect to triggers like temperature, moisture, pH, light, or microwave heating. Major formulations consist of plant-based inks, food by-streams (like fruit pomace, vegetable wastes), and bioactive agents such as anthocyanins or curcumin that are blended with AI-driven precision to reduce waste, make wise use of resources, allow for the on-the-spot customization for different dietary needs (like for dysphagia patients, personalized nutrition), and encourage circular economies through self-assembling structures.

Initial models were created through the use of multi-nozzle printers and then assessed based on the following criteria: structural integrity, rheological properties, sensory attributes (e.g., mouthfeel, visual appeal), bioactive retention, and environmental impact through life-cycle analysis. The findings revealed for instance that the color of apple/starch gels was stable for 5 days which is a superior shelf-life extension, waste reduction of up to 25% through compact-to-expanded designs, and positive consumer acceptance in color, flavor, and mouthfeel along with less energy consumption in the processing compared to traditional methods. Besides, the packaging innovations incorporate self-adapting, edible barriers that change form to fit very closely the contents during transportation, thus not only reducing the use of plastic but also allowing for dynamic portion control. Challenges like the printability of material, regulatory ones, and others still remain but have not proven to be insurmountable.

## References

1. Ahmed, J., Arya, A., Gupta, M., Furukawa, H., & Khosla, A. (2021). Additive manufacturing of food materials: Current status and future prospects. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 110, 620–639.
2. Bugaj, B., Pulatsu, S., Linforth, R., & Fisk, I. D. (2023). 4D food printing: From smart materials to dynamic eating experiences. Food Research International, 162, 112087.
3. Comber, R., Ganglbauer, E., Choi, J. H., Hoonhout, J., & Rogers, Y. (2012). Food and interaction design: Designing for food in everyday life. In Proc. SIGCHI Conf. Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 2767–2776).
4. Derossi, A., & Severini, C. (2023). Rheology and structure design in 3D and 4D food printing. Journal of Food Engineering, 344, 111405.
5. Devra, S., Singh, R., & Kaur, B. P. (2025). Life cycle assessment of additive manufacturing in food systems: Sustainability challenges and opportunities. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 44, 1–15.
6. Ding, Z., Yuan, C., Peng, X., Wang, T., Qi, H. J., & Dunn, M. L. (2017). Direct 4D printing via active composite materials. Science Advances, 3(4), e1602890.
7. Ge, Q., Qi, H. J., & Dunn, M. L. (2016). Active materials by four-dimension printing. Applied Physics Letters, 109(3), 031901.
8. Gladman, A. S., Matsumoto, E. A., Nuzzo, R. G., Mahadevan, L., & Lewis, J. A. (2016). Biomimetic 4D printing. Nature Materials, 15, 413–418.
9. Godoi, F. C., Prakash, S., & Bhandari, B. R. (2016). 3D printing technologies applied for food design: Status and prospects. Journal of Food Engineering, 179, 44–54.
10. Guo, C., Zhang, M., & Bhandari, B. (2020). Applications of multi-material extrusion-based 3D printing in food processing. Food Engineering Reviews, 12, 246–266.
11. Gurung, S. (2017). 4D printing: An emerging trend in additive manufacturing. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology, 6(6), 1–5.
12. Ghazal, A. F., Zhang, M., Liu, Y., & Luo, Y. (2019). Color-changing and aroma-releasing properties of 4D printed food. Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies, 54, 103–113.
13. Ghazal, A. F., Zhang, M., Liu, Y., & Luo, Y. (2021). Shelf life and stability of multi-material 4D printed foods containing natural colorants. Food Chemistry, 343, 128475.
14. Ghazal, A. F., Zhang, M., Liu, Y., & Luo, Y. (2022). Challenges and opportunities of 3D and 4D food printing: A review. Journal of Food Engineering, 317, 110825.
15. Ghazal, A. F., Zhang, M., Liu, Y., & Luo, Y. (2023). Stimuli-responsive ingredients for smart and interactive food printing. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 134, 65–78.
16. Ghazal, A. F., Zhang, M., Liu, Y., & Luo, Y. (2024). Storage stability and transformation behavior of microwave-stimulated 4D printed apple/starch gels. Food Hydrocolloids, 148, 109285.
17. He, C., Zhang, M., & Fang, Z. (2021). Microwave-induced deformation behavior of starch-based 4D printed foods. Food Hydrocolloids, 118, 106780.

18. Huang, Y., Zhang, M., Fang, Z., & Liu, Y. (2022). Acidification- and dehydration-induced deformation and discoloration of vegetable-based 4D printed foods. Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies, 75, 102895.
19. Khoo, Z. X., et al. (2015). 3D printing of smart materials: A review on recent progress in 4D printing. Virtual and Physical Prototyping, 10(3), 103–122.
20. Kreiger, M. A., & Pearce, J. M. (2013). Environmental life cycle analysis of distributed three-dimensional printing and conventional manufacturing of polymer products. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 1(12), 1511–1519.
21. Kuang, X., et al. (2019). 3D printing of highly stretchable, shape-memory, and self-healing elastomer toward 4D printing. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 11(17), 15299–15306.
22. Li, Y., Zhang, M., Fang, Z., & Liu, Y. (2025). Design principles and nutritional evaluation of 4D printed foods for personalized nutrition. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 24(1), 1–25.
23. Lorenz, M., Bugaj, B., & Fisk, I. D. (2022). Aroma release and sensory perception in 4D printed foods. Food Quality and Preference, 96, 104398.
24. Mahmood, S., Akram, M., Chen, S., & Chen, Y. (2023). Global trends and market outlook of 4D printing technology. Materials Today: Proceedings, 65, 1234–1241.
25. Pereira, T., Barroso, S., Gil, M. M., & Fogliano, V. (2021). Integrating cooking technologies with food printing: A review. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 108, 337–349.
26. Pulatsu, S., Linforth, R., & Fisk, I. D. (2021). Consumer perception of 3D and 4D printed foods. Food Research International, 142, 110196.
27. Ramachandraiah, K. (2022). Potential development of sustainable 3D-printed foods: A review. Journal of Food Engineering, 318, 110876.
28. Ren, L., Zhou, X., Liu, Q., & Wu, J. (2021). Programmable 4D printing of bioinspired solvent-driven morphing composites. Advanced Functional Materials, 31(18), 2100848.
29. Teng, X., Zhang, M., & Mujumdar, A. S. (2021). 4D printing in food processing: A review. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 61(7), 1106–1126.
30. Tibbits, S. (2014). 4D printing: Multi-material shape change. Architectural Design, 84(1), 116–121.
31. Zhang, M., Li, Y., Fang, Z., & Liu, Y. (2024). Valorization of food by-streams in 3D and 4D food printing for sustainable diets. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 137, 212–225.
32. Zhang, M., Li, Y., Fang, Z., & Liu, Y. (2025). Intelligent and personalized foods enabled by 3D and 4D printing technologies. Annual Review of Food Science and Technology, 16, 345–368.
33. Zhao, Y., Fang, Z., Zhang, M., & Liu, Y. (2021). Dynamic color and texture changes in 4D printed food materials. Food Hydrocolloids, 112, 106325.
34. Zoran, A., & Coelho, M. (2011). Cornucopia: The concept of digital gastronomy. In Proc. Int. Conf. Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction (pp. 61–68).