OPEN ACCESS

Volume: 11

Special Issue: 3

Month: February

Year: 2024

E-ISSN: 2582-0397

P-ISSN: 2321-788X

Received: 15.12.2023

Accepted: 05.02.2024

Published: 28.02.2024

Citation:
Samriddha, DP., and Thirupathi Manickam. "Green Washing Won't Wash." *Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities,* vol. 11, no. S3, 2024, pp. 55–62.

DOI:
https://doi.org/10.34293/sijash.v11iS3-Feb.7242

Green Washing Won't Wash

D.P. Samriddha
Department of Professional Studies
Christ (Deemed to be University), Yeshwanthpur, Bengaluru

Thirupathi Manickam
Assistant Professor, Department of Professional Studies
Christ (Deemed to be University), Yeshwanthpur, Bengaluru

Abstract
This research paper explores greenwashing, a deceptive marketing practice employed by corporations to create a misleading perception of their environmental responsibility. Through a comprehensive analysis of academic literature, case studies, and industry reports, this paper highlights the various tactics companies use to greenwash their products and services. Also, it identifies greenwashing strategies and promotes ethical practices. Moreover, it provides consumers and stakeholders with practical strategies to identify and avoid falling victim to corporate greenwashing. Additionally, the paper examines the consequences of greenwashing on consumer trust, environmental initiatives, and regulatory frameworks. So,this research paper sheds light on the need for transparency, accountability, and effective regulation to combat greenwashing and foster genuine sustainability efforts by corporations.
Keywords: Sustainability, Environment, Stakeholders, Ethics, Regulations.

Introduction

When it comes to depleting natural resources or contaminating the environment, environmentally friendly or green products have a lower environmental impact than their counterparts (Shamdasani, P. Ongchon-Lin G. & Richmond P, 1993). In ecological concerns such as climate change, pollution, deforestation, and resource depletion, consumer demand for sustainability increasingly seeks products and services from companies that align with their environmental values. This trend has increased demand for sustainable and eco-friendly products, as well as an increased emphasis on corporate social responsibility, which considers the interests of society by accepting responsibility for the impact of its activities on customers, employees, communities, and the environment. Environmental sustainability is currently a hot topic around the world. It has become a significant concern for governments, corporations, and individuals. The fast globalization and industrialization of the last several decades have significantly contributed to environmental degradation in pollution, greenhouse gas emissions, ozone depletion, global warming, etc. Brundtland (1987) defined sustainable development as "development that meets the needs of the present generation without jeopardizing future generations' ability to meet their own needs." Consumers, investors, and other stakeholders are increasingly concerned about the environment and society. They make purchasing and investing decisions with environmental and social concerns in mind. As a result, businesses are constantly under pressure to perform well on these metrics and to think beyond profitability.

The Significance of corporate greenwashing stems from the potential harm caused by misleading consumers, which can lead to a false sense of satisfaction and impede consumers' capacity to make educated decisions. It erodes trust between businesses and their customers. Greenwashing stifles development by implying that sufficient action is being taken to address environmental challenges. It has the potential to foster satisfaction and postpone the necessary changes required to attain significant sustainability goals.

Definition and Key Concepts

Marketing is a sort of "greenwashing." It is a technique for enhancing the allure of items to environmentally conscious consumers.'Greenwashing' is the term for actions taken by businesses that harm the environment while claiming to care about climate change. Due to this, whenever we enter a store or purchase online, we may notice numerous signs referring to products as "eco-friendly," "organic," or "sustainable."

While it's encouraging to see businesses making efforts to be more environmentally friendly, it's unfortunate that sometimes these slogans and banners aren't entirely accurate and can be used to cover up more serious issues with how some companies operate.

According to Greenpeace (www.stopgreenwash.org),"the act of misleading consumers about a company's environmental practises or the environmental benefits of a product or service". It entails firms making false and manipulative sustainable claims to create a more superficial eco-friendly image than they are by investing more resources in marketing their products as 'green' rather than genuinely minimizing their negative impact on the environment. Delmas and Cuerel Burbano (2011) defined greenwashing drivers as market, non-market, organizational, and human.

Historical Context and Emergence of Greenwashing

The advent of the modern environmental movement and the rise in environmental consciousness in the middle of the 20th century can be seen as the historical roots of greenwashing. People began calling for more environmentally conscious and sustainable corporate and industrial practices as worries about pollution, resource depletion, and ecological deterioration increased.Companies started implementing numerous techniques to boost their public image and present themselves as environmentally and socially concerned in response to this growing environmental consciousness. Greenwashing, a phrase coined in the 1980s to characterize the false or misleading advertising and marketing techniques used by businesses to create the appearance of ecological concern, was born at this time.

When environmental activists and watchdog groups began calling out businesses for making misleading or exaggerated claims about their environmental practices in the 1990s, the term "greenwashing" became well-known. The emergence of the internet and social media in the late 20th and early 21st centuries increased public awareness of greenwashing by facilitating information exchange and, more effectively, exposing dishonest practices.Greenwashing emerged as a result of many circumstances. First, businesses came under pressure to meet public demands for sustainability as environmental concerns expanded. However, putting truly sustainable practices into practice can be difficult and expensive, necessitating considerable adjustments to business models, supply chains, and production methods. Some businesses turned to "greenwashing" to appear environmentally conscious while avoiding these costs and maintaining their market share.

Furthermore, firms coulduse loopholes and make ambiguous or false claims about their environmental performance due to a lack of defined norms and standards for environmental claims. Consumers found it challenging to distinguish between genuine ecologically friendly products and those only advertised as such due to the lack of standardized standards and rules, as there was also a communication gap between businesses and customers due to the intricacy of environmental issues and the use of technical jargon. Due to this gap, it was simpler for enterprises to falsify and convey false information about the environment, making it more difficult for customers to make educated decisions.Overall, it is possible to view the rise of "greenwashing" due to society's growing emphasis on sustainability and environmental responsibility. While there has been success in the fight against greenwashing, it is still a problem that needs constant attention and consumer understanding.

The Objective of the Research Paper

- To understand the factbehind corporate environmentalism.
- To analyse Strategies and Tactics of corporate Greenwashing

Literature Review

We thoroughly reviewed the literature to identify trends and developments in the field and synthesize the central issues covered in the research. Indeed, review papers play a vital role in identifying key subjects relevant to the topic and synthesizing the main trends and points of view offered, as well as the principal methodologies and research procedures used (Randolf, 2009). According to Randolph (2009), the data collecting method for a systematic and trustworthy literature review should begin with an electronic search of academic databases and the internet. To find academic literature on greenwashing, we conducted keyword searches in the ABI/Inform Global database, regarded as the most comprehensive source of information on business research (Lyon & Montgomery, 2015).

According to Brennan and Binney (2008) research, marketers prioritize profits over environmental concerns. As a result, marketers must be environmentally conscious and think beyond revenues. It has been noticed that anytime an environmental calamity occurs, businesses increase their CSR and green marketing initiatives to improve their public image. Cherry and Sneirson (2011) conducted a case study on British Petroleum's historic oil spill, demonstrating that the firm engaged in false advertising and securities fraud due to its inability to present sufficient evidence for its so-called environmental and social claims. They also suggested that the change be authentic and verifiable.

Lyon and Maxwell (2011) developed a methodology to ensure that enterprises publish a complete picture of their environmental performance, including both positive and negative contributions to the ecosystem. Environmental audits should be done regularly to discourage greenwashing, and offenders should be reprimanded. The absence of harmful environmental disclosure would erode consumer and investor trust, which is detrimental to the company's long-term interests. In its 2009 greenwashing report, Terrachoice, a North American environmental marketing consultancy, defined seven sins of greenwashing.

Strategies and Tactics of Greenwashing

By giving money to environmental projects, public relations campaigns, or other similar initiatives, businesses or institutions aim to promote themselves as particularly ecologically conscious and compassionate. This practice is known as "greenwashing."

Motivations behind Greenwashing

Here, Richard Fairchild uses the intrinsic/extrinsic incentive theory to investigate why businesses prioritize sustainability even when doing so could harm their revenues and profits. Additionally, he looks at the issue of greenwashing and how this theory may be used to explain why governmental entities have been so unsuccessful in outlawing this practice.There is a rising awareness that firms and investors need to go beyond the financial bottom line and consider the societal implications of their activity at a time when environmental threats are on the rise. As an illustration, the EU auditors are urging the EU to take additional steps to support sustainable European business and investment.

There was a time when corporations emphasized generating shareholder wealth while investors and firms exclusively focused on the financial 'bottom line'; investors were concerned with their investments' risk and expected financial returns. "The only ethical responsibility of a company is to its shareholders!" famously exclaimed Milton Friedman.

Green Imagery and Symbolism

Advertising Due to the growing trend of being environmentally concerned, environmental claims are now made for a wide range of goods and services, even those that are not naturally eco-friendly, like batteries, plastic bottles, or aeroplanes. Additionally, many green advertising campaigns convey contradictory facts or make unsubstantiated claims about how products and services affect the environment.

Vague or Misleading Language

Employing ambiguous or deceptive wording is one of the most popular strategies businesses use to engage in greenwashing. For instance, using terms like "natural" or "eco-friendly" might be deceptive without providing any supporting information. This sin relates to general and poorly defined assertions, which increases customers' likelihood of misunderstanding them. A prime example is using the word "natural" to suggest that a good or service is environmentally friendly.

False Certifications and Eco-Labels

Consumers and institutional buyers can quickly and readily identify items that fulfil specified environmental performance requirements and are consequently considered "environmentally preferable" by using eco-labels, which are marks applied to product packaging or included in online catalogues. For credibility assurance, producers have been forced to certify items multiple times due to the pervasive and falsified eco-labels. Today, practically every product claims that it is "green" and made from natural ingredients. This greenwashing is detrimental to the economy, consumers, and the environment.

Diversionary Tactics and Distractions

Companies that participate in greenwashing frequently use diverting strategies and distractions to draw attention away from their unsustainable practices or to give the impression that they are environmentally conscious. Consumers and stakeholders must critically assess company statements, investigate their environmental practices, and hunt for reliable certifications or third-party verifications to avoid falling for greenwashing ploys.

Green Product Claims and Marketing Techniques

Companies utilize marketing strategies and green product claims to highlight the advantages of their products for the environment. While some businesses truly provide environmentally friendly items, others may engage in "greenwashing," misleading customers about the environmental benefits of their products. To make decisions, it's necessary to investigate and assess product claims, consider third-party certifications, and search for accountability and openness in a company's sustainability practices.

The Role of Stakeholders

Educating consumers about the warning signs of greenwashing helps them identify potentially misleading claims. This includes looking for vague language, unsubstantiated claims, irrelevant or insignificant eco-labels, and inconsistencies between a company's marketing and its actual practices. Educating consumers about the importance of independent verification and certifications can be valuable in evaluating the credibility of environmental claims.

NGOs and Regulatory Organizations

Encouraging the involvement of consumer advocacy groups and watchdog organizations can help raise awareness about greenwashing practices. These groups can conduct independent investigations, publish reports, and provide resources to inform and educate the public.Incorporating sustainability education into school curricula and adult education programs can foster consumer awareness.

Government Regulations and Enforcement

Governments can set more precise rules and benchmarks for environmental claims to stop greenwashing. It is simpler for customers to judge the veracity of ecological claims when clear standards are in place because they promote consistency and transparency in the marketplace. Governments have two options for regulating greenwashing: establish specialized bodies or give existing ones more authority. They are responsible for monitoring businesses and taking appropriate legal action against those using misleading marketing techniques.Disclosure of emissions data, resource utilization, waste management techniques, and other environmental measures are all examples of reporting. Consumers can evaluate a company's environmental performance with the help of mandatory reporting, which assures transparency.

Recognized third-party businesses must give out verification and certification with a track record of success in the industry. Additionally, governments can set up accreditation procedures to guarantee the validity and dependability of these certifications.Regulators can check labels and marketing for compliance and take legal action against businesses that use misleading tactics. Penalties and fines ought to be strong enough to prevent companies from using deceptive tactics and act as a deterrence for others.Educating the public about common greenwashing tactics, warning signs, and reliable sources of information can help consumers navigate through misleading claims and identify genuinely sustainable products and services.International Collaboration at the global level can facilitate information sharing, harmonize regulations, and strengthen the collective effort to address greenwashing practices.

Combating Greenwashing

Transparency and Disclosure Requirements

- Governments can impose mandatory reporting requirements on companies, compelling them to disclose relevant environmental data.
- Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) or the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) frameworks provide guidelines for companies to disclose their environmental practices comprehensively and consistently.

- Independent auditors or third-party organizations can assess a company's reported data and practices, objectively assessing their environmental performance.
- Companies should disclose information about their supply chains, particularly regarding environmental practices.
- Governments should establish regulatory bodies with the authority and resources to monitor transparency and disclosure requirements compliance.

Third-Party Verification and Certification

It's important to note that not all third-party certifications are equally rigorous or reputable. Some certificates may have lower standards or lack transparency. Therefore, consumers and stakeholders should know recognized and reputable certification schemes and understand their criteria and evaluation processes. The third-party verification and certification objectively assess a company's environmental claims, promoting transparency, accountability, and consumer confidence. By relying on independent assessments, stakeholders can make more informed decisions and support companies that genuinely prioritize sustainability.

Strengthening Regulatory Frameworks

It is critical to develop regulatory frameworks so that governments may establish a comprehensive and effective mechanism to prevent greenwashing. Clear legislation, enforcement actions, and consumer education contribute to a marketplace in which corporations are held accountable for their environmental claims, and consumers may make informed judgements based on credible information.

Findings

- Addressing greenwashing necessitates a multifaceted approach that includes legislative measures, industry self-regulation, consumer education, and genuine commitment from businesses to transparency and sustainability.
- By addressing greenwashing, we can promote ethical corporate practices and aid the transition to a more sustainable and environmentally conscious economy.
- This paper highlights the most dangerous strategies followed by corporate greenwashing in the modern business world.
- This paper helps the rational individual identify and avoid corporate greenwashing.
- This paper also suggests carrying out greenwashing more ethically and legally.
- This paper plays a crucial role in educating consumers and ensuring they are not getting carried away by false claims made by corporations.
- This paper also focuses on shifting the responsibility of reducing corporate greenwashing on the shoulder of professionals like, CAs, CSs and the like.

Implications of Greenwashing

Furthermore, misleading wording harms a company's reputation and weakens confidence in sustainability promises as a whole. Due to financial greenwashing, businesses and individuals may invest in assets that conflict with their ESG policy. To promote corporate social responsibility and counteract greenwashing, a multifaceted approach is required to combine collaboration among governments, organizations, consumers, and businesses. Corporate social responsibility promotes a business climate in which corporations prioritize responsible practices and contribute to positive environmental outcomes by creating openness, accountability, and a genuine commitment to sustainability.

Consumer Trust and Perception

Consumer perception and trust are essential factors in corporate greenwashing. Greenwashing tactics can potentially erode consumer confidence and create unfavourable impressions of businesses making false environmental claims. Consumers who become sceptical of trusting environmental promises made by any company become cynical about greenwashing, which can also damage a company's reputation. Governments and regulatory agencies may tighten rules and set higher standards for environmental claims. Companies found guilty of deceiving customers through "greenwashing" may face legal action, fines, and penalties. Companies must be open, sincere, and accountable in their sustainability initiatives to regain consumer confidence and enhance perceptions. Clear proof, third-party certifications, and a commitment to long-term environmental practices can help restore consumer confidence and develop a positive reputation.

Environmental Impact and Sustainability Initiatives

Initiatives with a narrow focus on sustainability ignore or dismiss other critical environmental problems in favour of their own. Failure to adapt practises or seek sustainable alternatives due to systemic industry or business model issues. It's crucial to assess a company's sustainability measures, demand openness, and search for promises supported by data and independent verification.

Regulatory Challenges and Loopholes

The availability of numerous competing eco-labels and certifications, each with different requirements, can confuse consumers and make it difficult to distinguish between credentials with high standards and those with lower ones. Allocating adequate resources and expertise to monitor and look into greenwashing effectively may prove difficult for regulatory authorities. The difficulty in confirming environmental claims and maintaining transparency stems from supply chains' complexity and worldwide reach. Businesses may use the intricacy of their supply chains as a cover for unsustainable practices and to portray an untrue environmental performance. Greenwashing tactics continue because it takes so long to update laws and close loopholes.

Conclusion

According to the study, customers are environmentally sensitive and prefer green products. Still, they are not well informed about the green claims made for the items, which leads to greenwashing. It is utilized to establish consumer trust and gain a competitive advantage to make more money. It is about overemphasizing what is being done and concealing what should be done. These items are sold and purchased without any information regarding the ingredients. Consumers buy the wrong items at excessive prices because of the labelling and terms such as natural, herbal, organic, etc. Consumers rely on such things without conducting much investigation. Once uncovered, these fraudulent promises may become very risky for the companies, and they may also lose the trust of their clients, which may be impossible to recapture. As a result, businesses should avoid using these techniques to grow profits and gain customers' trust. People may be oblivious to their involvement in greenwashing, which can harm everyone, including the environment. Companies that deceive the public should face harsher punishments. The government should create campaigns and training for businesses to assist them in understanding the importance of green marketing and how it may benefit society.

It should include regulatory measures, industry activities, and well-informed consumer decisions. By implementing these guidelines, stakeholders can work together to promote transparency, accountability, and actual sustainability practices, producing a more ecologically responsible business landscape.Not just the corporates but even the consumer should be aware of what is happening around them and ensure that fraud does not fool them. The government can be passive, the NGOs may be silent, but only the consumer can end all these unfair practices.

Prospects and Areas for Further Research

More research in these areas can help us gain a more thorough understanding of greenwashing and inform the creation of effective tactics, regulations, and interventions to address misleading environmental claims. It can also enhance stakeholder awareness and build a more sustainable and responsible company environment.

This study paper examines corporate greenwashing in depth, identifying the tactics used by firms, analyzing the effects on various stakeholders, and offering effective countermeasures. This research intends to contribute to developing sustainable business practices and promote faithful environmental stewardship in corporate sectors by raising awareness and knowledge of greenwashing.

References

1. De Freitas Netto, S V., Sobral M., Ribeiro A C & Da Luz Soares, G R (2020). Concepts and forms of greenwashing: a systematic review. Environmental Sciences, Europe, 32(1).
2. Gatti, L., Seele, P., & Rademacher, L. (2019). Grey zone in – greenwash out. A review of greenwashing research and implications for the voluntary-mandatory transition of CSR. International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility, 4(1).
3. Ioannou, I. (2022b, July 21). How Greenwashing Affects the Bottom Line. Harvard Business Review.
4. Edwards, C. (2023). What Is Greenwashing? Business News Daily.
5. Jakubczak, A. (2020, November 9). Green Consumerism vs. Greenwashing.
6. Gibbens, S. (2022, November 22). Is your favorite ‘green’ product as eco-friendly as it claims to be? Environment.
7. Timmins, B. B. (2021, November 8). Climate change: Seven ways to spot businesses greenwashing. BBC News.

Web Links

1. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-020-0300-3
2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40991-019-0044-9
3. https://hbr.org/2022/07/how-greenwashing-affects-the-bottom-line
4. https://www.businessnewsdaily.com/10946-greenwashing.html
5. https://ersj.eu/journal/1733
6. https://www.nationalgeographic.com/environment/article/what-is-greenwashing-how-to-spot
7. https://www.bbc.com/news/business-59119693