

OPEN ACCESS

Volume: 12

Special Issue: 2

Month: July

Year: 2024

P-ISSN: 2321-788X

E-ISSN: 2582-0397

Received: 17.06.2024

Accepted: 27.06.2024

Published: 30.07.2024

Citation:

Neamah, H. O. (2024).

The Image of Men in Harold Pinter's Birthday Party. *Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities*, 12(S2), 42–47.

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.34293/sijash.v12iS2-July.7861>



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

The Image of Men in Harold Pinter's Birthday Party

Hani Oudah Neamah

Ph.D Scholar, Banaras Hindu University
Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract

Harold Pinter frequently shows the difficulty of forgotten persons who cannot sense their existence. These characters are fatigued and dissatisfied in a society that strips them of their humanity. They retreat into a little realm where they seek safety and shelter. External influences, portrayed by invasive persons, disrupt the protagonists' feeling of security by representing strange, indefinable forces. The struggle between these hackers and the protagonists concludes with the characters' defeat. The rationale for the hackers' attack on the victims remains unknown and has not been explained. The sense of mystery infuses Pinter's plays and is one of their key features. This dissertation investigates how Pinter coped with this challenge in his debut play, *The Birthday Party* (1957). This study seeks to answer the issues of how a person escapes from his reality, what forms of dangers assault him, and why he is unable to battle these threats.

Keywords: Masculinity, Gender Roles, Power Dynamics, Authority, Dominance, Vulnerability, Toxic Masculinity, Performance of Masculinity, Aggression, Control, Isolation, Identity, Emasculation, Communication Breakdown, Absurdity.

Introduction

Harold Pinter, a prominent British dramatist and Nobel Prize winner, wrote the play *The Birthday Party*. It made its first appearance in print in 1959. The play is sometimes referred to as a "comedy of menace" due to its fluidity of time, isolated setting, and ambiguity of identity.

Harold Pinter's *The Birthday Party* focuses around Stanley Webber, a pianist who lives at the Boles' dingy seaside boarding house. He is suspicious of strangers and does not reveal much about his background.

One day, when Stanley is celebrating his birthday, two strangers enter and flip everything upside down. Goldberg and McCann make havoc at the boarding home without disclosing the reason for their visit. They expose Stanley to a vigorous cross-examination with illogical questions. The *Birthday Party* author used the vocabulary of the play to emphasize the characters' useless lives and incapacity to seek the truth.

Harold Pinter: The Birthday Party Playwright

Harold Pinter was a prominent British dramatist, well known for his masterpieces *The Room* (1957), *The Birthday Party* (1957), *The Homecoming* (1964), and *Betrayal* (1978). In addition to being a playwright, Pinter was a renowned screenwriter, director, and actor. In 2005, he was awarded the Nobel Prize for literature.

The descriptive phrase “Painterly” was coined to describe his paintings due of their originality. It describes a sense of danger, minimalism, and the unpredictability of language with extended realistic pauses found in Pinter’s plays.

The Birthday Party was Pinter’s first full-length play. It was played and resurrected several times in theaters around Europe and the United States. The playwright of The Birthday Party based the play on his own experience staying in a boarding home in England. He employed juxtapositions to generate uncertainty and disorder in the plot, which Martin Esslin subsequently referred to as “the theatre of the absurd.”

The ambiguity of the characters’ behavior and lack of reason shape the whole framework of The Birthday Party play. Stanley attempts to avoid issues and conceal his history while enduring continual terror. He is unwilling to accept reality and feels frightened anytime something breaks his typical routine. The drama does not expose Stanley’s prior behavior, but Goldberg and McCann’s acts cause him to become aggressive. He seemed to be overwhelmed by a sense of guilt.

The play’s intended ridiculousness blurs the distinction between reality and delusion. It demonstrates useless existence. In Harold Pinter’s The Birthday Party, characters are unable to have meaningful talks. They can’t discover the truth since they don’t understand one other. If you ask us to ‘write an essay for me’ about The Birthday Party, be certain that our experienced writer will delve into the themes of absurdity, alienation, and guilt, all of which might be interpreted in a variety of ways.

In The Birthday Party, the author evokes a sense of loneliness. The drama takes place in a single location, the boarding house’s sitting room, which is isolated from the rest of the world. The two persecutors reflect a society that mistreats independent artists such as Stanley, exacerbating their distress. The play has aspects of confusion and disorder, as well as linguistic breakdown, which are prominent hallmarks of absurdist theater.

Stanley Webber

A man who has spent the last year living at Meg and Petey Boles’ boarding home. Stanley is reclusive and untidy, dressed in dirty old jeans and a pajama top. If Meg didn’t go out of her way every morning to make sure he ate breakfast and drank his tea, he might never leave his bedroom. This might be because he has come to this coastal town to escape his past, though Pinter never explains what Stanley is fleeing from. Nonetheless, he lives a solitary life, reluctant to leave the boarding home and claims that if he did, he’d have “nowhere” to go. Stanley, who has become accustomed to this type of quiet, is devastated when Goldberg and McCann arrive at the boarding home and begin questioning him, making him feel guilty despite the fact that they never divulge what he has done. Unfortunately, Meg and Petey fail to recognize the impact these arrivals have on Stanley, even when he eventually has a mental collapse as a result of their teasing. At the same time, Goldberg and McCann’s portrayal of Stanley’s depravity is disturbing, as he finally attempts to strangle Meg and rape Lulu (an acquaintance). As a result, Pinter presents him as someone who has always been dangerous, or who has been driven to the brink by Goldberg and McCann’s psychological tricks. Indeed, at the conclusion of the play, Stanley is fully insane, unable to communicate or stand up for himself, which is why he enables Goldberg and McCann to lead him out of the boarding home and away from his isolated life.

Meg Boles

Meg, together with her husband Petey, is a co-owner of the boarding home where Stanley stays. What Meg lacks in brains, she makes up for in meticulousness, constantly striving to satisfy her visitors and set rituals that would bring order to the boarding house. Her relationship with Stanley

is particularly strange, as she treats him both maternally and sexually, constantly nagging him to eat his breakfast while making possibly sexual comments about their relationship. What interests me the most about Meg is her devotion to order and ritual, even when it makes little sense to impose these daily habits. For example, even when she runs out of cornflakes one morning, she insists on Stanley coming downstairs to eat breakfast, preferring to go through her routine than act in line with reality. This is the same type of naivete that makes it difficult for her to see that when Goldberg and McCann come, they want to mentally torture Stanley. Instead of realizing their evil intent, she concentrates only on throwing Stanley a birthday party (despite the fact that he claims it is not his birthday). Furthermore, the morning after the party, she acts as if nothing exceptional occurred, despite the fact that Stanley attempted to strangle her and then rape Lulu. Knowing how vital it is for her to keep order and regularity, Petey informs her at the end of the play that Stanley is still sleeping upstairs, despite the fact that Goldberg and McCann have taken him away permanently.

Petey Boles

Meg's spouse and the co-owner of the boarding home where Stanley stays. Petey is a pleasant man whose presence is limited in his own house since he spends the most of his time working at the neighboring beach, where he places chairs for the public. Petey, who is aware of his wife's idiosyncrasies, has no reservations about accommodating Meg's concern with order and regularity. When she discusses the same issues every morning, he just agrees that Stanley should come downstairs so that he is not late for breakfast. In reality, he had this talk with Meg at the end of the play, after Stanley has been removed from the home by McCann and Goldberg. Despite his absence, Petey is arguably the only character in *The Birthday Party* who is concerned about Stanley after McCann and Goldberg mentally abuse him. In fact, he's the only one who recognizes any difference in Stanley, as seen by the fact that he attempts to defend him and, when that fails, exclaims, "Stan, don't let them tell you what you should do!"

The Birthday Party Symbols

Stanley's Drum

The drum that Meg gifts Stanley for his birthday exemplifies her preference for order. When Stanley initially unwraps the gift, she encourages him to play it, as he drapes it over his neck and marches in circles around the table, beating the drum repeatedly. Unsurprisingly, she is happy to hear him tap out a beat, as rhythm is made up of patterns and repetitions that demand the musician to exert control. As a result, the drum becomes a symbol for the ways in which the characters at *The Birthday Party* follow or deviate from the order that Meg so desperately wishes to establish. As Stanley continues to play, the rhythm becomes more unpredictable, and, much to Meg's dismay, he beats the instrument in a "savage" and "possessed" fashion. In this sense, the drum signals that the boarding house's carefully regulated environment is soon to descend into pandemonium.

The Image of Men in Harold Pinter's Birthday Party

As a guy grows older, he feels compelled to interact with other people and places that differ from his early infancy, when he lived under the safety of his parents. As he matures, his life changes as one would anticipate. Man sometimes struggles to deal with the demands of this new life. This would cause him to engage in an unconscious defense that would allow him to mask his failure. It also makes him feel inadequate and weak.

The first scene of the play introduces Meg, the house's landlady. She is a plain, old woman. Her spouse Petey sits at the breakfast table with a paper and begins to read while she discusses

various things. She seemed to believe that her cornflakes were better than anybody else's. She treats Stanley, a thirty-something man who is the lone lodger in the house, as both a pampered child and a lover. She starts flirting with him, walks up to his room, tickles the back of his neck, and says, "I've had some lovely afternoons in that room." Stanley is occasionally disgusted by this sexiness and cloying attentiveness.

Stanley is a former pianist. He states that he used to work with particular persons who organized his performances, but at the time of the play, he is not working as a pianist. He isolates himself from the outside world by living in a room that serves as a refuge for him, giving him a sense of comfort; nevertheless, this sense of security is challenged by the outside world. He is terrified since he knows Meg is expecting some visitors. This anxiety is similar to a prophecy of disaster in Greek tragedy. It is unclear why he is so worried about these guests.

The play's construction of the past might take the shape of intricate fables, such as Stanley's account of his career as a pianist, which stems from his absurd assertion that he is contemplating a job offer in Berlin, followed by a global tour. The rapidity with which Stanley constructs his stories is demonstrated by the way he immediately retracts his claim of having played all over the world and settles for having played all over the county. His account is psychologically revealing because resentment creeps through, even if his original objective was to present himself as a brilliant musician. At the end of the monologue, Stanley is unable to stop moaning sulkily about being invited to a performance in a venue that turned out to be boarded up, which is the only part of his description that is plausible. Stanley's vulnerability and defensiveness are presented through his own view of the past, which was paradoxically intended to improve his image in the eyes of both himself and other characters.

Stanley acknowledges Meg's hard condition of motherhood. He is uninterested in everything around him; he dislikes going out; he prefers to stay in bed or sit home, like a child frightened to face others. His handling of Lulu, the girl next door, suggests that he is immature. Despite her comments about his lack of cleaning and shaving, she remains attracted in him, but he declines her invitations to go out with her.

Stanley's seclusion from society stems from his previous experiences. He tells Meg about one of his past adventures as a pianist. He once claimed to have delivered a concert in London. But that triumph did not endure, because at the second concert, other persons intervened to damage his career as a pianist.

"They carved me up. It was all arranged, it was all worked out. My next concert. Somewhere else it was. In winter I went Down there to be play. Then, when I got there, the hall was closed, the place was shuttered up, not even a caretaker. They'd locked it up They want me to crawl down on my bended knees" (1, 33).

This terrible past may allow one to rationalize Stanley's current actions. His inclination to live in a child-like condition and his reliance on Meg represent his insecurity, although he occasionally yells at Meg, revealing his turmoil. However, he does not want to be separated from her since separation would require him to develop and confront the outside world, something he does not want to do.

While the title and dialogue connect to Meg's party plans for Stanley's birthday: "It's your birthday, Stan. I was intending to keep it a secret until tonight." Even that "truth" is doubtful, as Stanley disputes that it is his birthday: "This isn't my birthday, Meg," he told Goldberg and McCann.

No, it's not until next month," adding, in response to McCann's saying "Not according to the lady *Meg+," "Her? She's crazy. Round the bend" (II, 41).

Meg advises that she celebrate Stanley's birthday, despite the fact that she does not remember when day he was born. She declares that she will celebrate his birthday with Goldberg and McCann, who come at the residence. Goldberg, who enjoys playing the character of a highly gregarious man, recommends that they throw him a party. Once Stanley learns that the two guys have arrived on the scene, he escapes to his room and returns after they leave. Meg presents him her present, a boy's drum. He is astonished at first, then he wraps the drum around his neck and begins to beat it in a normal rhythm, but later he bangs it ferociously out of fear of Goldberg and McCann, who threaten to undermine his thin security.

The birthday celebration, with its drum, turning off the lights, and game of blind man's buff, unleashes the aggression in another stroke of drama. The shock of combining humor and melodrama is mitigated by their respective familiarity. With the norms relaxed by the theatrically permissible evasion of probability, the essential sequences of questioning, human brokenness, and astonishment may take place on their own terms. Stanley's reaction is ferocious, reflecting the depth of his sorrow, because he appears to realize the significance of his acceptance of the situation. This scene mirrors Meg's personality as well. Giving him the drum reveals her subconscious desire to keep him near to her and communicates her dread of loneliness. His style of beating is that of a savage primal guy.

Stanley tries to rape Lulu at the same birthday celebration, despite feeling inadequate as a man; by attacking her in what appears to be a rape attempt, he unknowingly seeks a fresh source of the protecting Edenic womb - the life he has lost. Losing this safe environment makes him feel uncertain, scared, and vulnerable. When Meg fails to protect him from the pain he is exposed to, he seeks a new source of safety in Lulu, who demonstrates that she is not a mother figure and cannot replace Meg. She insists that he respond as a man rather than a child.

In both Pinter's and Beckett's plays, individuals remain silent to avoid talking and converse to escape silence. Meg wants to be surrounded by others in order to avoid being alone. Meg's talk is an example of existential chat. She engages in useless word games with the genuine intention of having her own life validated by the sound of a reciprocal voice, by the simple sequence of a mutual exchange. Meg's married relationship lacks harmony and mutual understanding, prompting her to seek a substitute lover in Stanley.

Stanley tries to flee before the party begins, but McCann stops him with more blatant threats of violence, breaking Stanley's glasses during the celebration. In doing so, he destroys the object that represents Stanley's standing as an artist. The drum represents degradation and the ultimate demise of his profession as pianist. By shattering the drum, he ends his role as Meg's little child, reflecting his desire to break free from her maternal bonds.

Throughout the play, Stanley faces several psychological pressures imposed by external sources. He is unable to confront the outside world. He reveals his fragile side during the birthday celebration, when he portrays a man who avoids confronting the things that disrupt his life and turn it into a prison. Before the birthday celebration begins, Stanley sits in the corner of the room, mute and melancholy, recalling his past as a successful musician. Human memory appears to be one of the internal energies capable of enslaving man and preventing him from breaking free from his limitations.

Conclusion

Pinter's plays depict the inability of human relationships to shelter men from emotions of loneliness and terror. This is mirrored in dialogues that depict man's failure to reveal his actual personality to others, which makes him open to assault. The Birthday Party is Pinter's first full-length play, combining characters and events from his earlier pieces. The drama depicts the plight

of a guy who withdraws from social life and lives in apathy and uncertainty. It depicts man's fear of external threats that intrude on his life and demolish his defenses. These threats may represent two conflicting inclinations in man's psyche, each attempting to assert authority. The final image depicts a man who is alone, weak, victimized, and powerless. His perspective is sad and ironic. He seeks identity and understanding, as well as solutions to deal with human loneliness in a socially complex environment.

References

1. Abbotson, Susan C. W. *Thematic Guide to Modern Drama*. London: Greenwood Press, 2003.
2. Almansì, Guido and Simon Henderson. *Harold Pinter*. London: Methuen & Co. Ltd, 1983.
3. Baker, William and Stephen Ely Tabachnick. *Harold Pinter*. Edinburgh: Oliver & Boyd, 1973.
4. Bows, Bob. "The Birthday Party" Rating: (out of four stars)", *The Denver Post*. Entertainment. 11 April 2008.
5. Burkman, Katherine H. *The Dramatic World of Harold Pinter: Its Basis In Ritual*. Ohio: Ohio State University Press, 1971.
6. Cohn, Ruby. "The World of Harold Pinter," in *Pinter: A Collection of Critical Essays*. ed., Arthur Ganz. Englewood Cliff, N. J. Prentice - Hall, Inc., 1972.
7. Esslin, Martin. "Godot and His Children: The Theatre of Samuel Beckett and Harold Pinter", in *Modern British Dramatist: A Collection of Critical Essays*. Ed., John Russell Brown. New Jersey Prentice - Hall, 1968.
8. Lahr, John. "Pinter and Chekhov : The Bond of Naturalism," in *Pinter : A Collection of Critical Essays*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J. Prentice - Hall, Inc., 1972.
9. Lesser, Simon O. "Reflections on Pinter's The Birthday Party." *Contemporary Literature*. Vol. 13. No 1. University of Wisconsin Press, 1972.