

# Influence of Parental Technoference on Early Childhood Development and Parent-Child Bonding

## OPEN ACCESS

Volume: 12

Special Issue: 1

Month: September

Year: 2024

E-ISSN: 2582-0397

P-ISSN: 2321-788X

Received: 15.08.2024

Accepted: 17.09.2024

Published: 27.09.2024

Citation: Divyasthri, N., and BaskarR. "Influence of Parental Technoference on Early Childhood Development and Parent-Child Bonding." *Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities*, vol. 12, no. S1, 2024, pp. 80–90

DOI:  
<https://doi.org/10.34293/sijash.v12iS1-Sep.8179>

**Divyasthri .N**

*Research Scholar, Department of Social Work  
Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu*

**Dr. R. Baskar**

*Associate Professor, Department of Social Work  
Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu*

## Abstract

*In today's digitally driven world, parental technoference the frequent interruption of parent-child interactions due to technology use has emerged as a critical factor influencing early childhood development and parent-child bonding. This review investigates how parental gadget use affects children's cognitive, emotional, and social development, among other critical functions. It also looks at how technoference prevents parents and young children from developing a stable attachment and strong emotional bonds. This paper emphasizes how excessive parental screen time reduces attentiveness and opportunity for meaningful interactions, which are critical throughout early developmental stages. The paper further discusses cultural variations, implications for parenting practices, and potential strategies to mitigate the negative effects of technoference. By synthesizing current research, the review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the long-term consequences of technoference on early childhood outcomes and family dynamics.*

**Keywords:** Technoference, Parental Technoference, Parent-Child Relationship, Mobile Phone Usage

## Introduction

Technoference, a term that was developed to denote the interference of technology in a variety of relationships, has received attention in recent literature. The implications of technoference in partnerships were investigated by (McDaniel et al., 2016), with a particular emphasis on the personal and relational well-being of women. The research emphasised the potential for technology to disrupt intimate relationships and affect the overall well-being of individuals. Building upon this, (McDaniel e. al., 2017) explored the concept of technoference in parent-child interactions. The research revealed that the use of problematic technology by parents was linked to interruptions in parent-child interactions, which were referred to as technoference. These interruptions were, in turn, associated with child conduct problems. The results underscored the necessity of investigating the directionality and transactional processes in future longitudinal studies. Additional research conducted by (McDaniel et al., 2017) examined the impact of technoference on the character

of the couple and coparenting relationships of mothers and fathers. The research illuminated the extent to which technology interruptions can interfere with the quality of family relationships, underscoring the necessity of a more profound comprehension of these dynamics. (Stockdale et al., 2018) conducted a nationally representative study that concentrated on the socioemotional behavioural outcomes of parent-child technofence in adolescents between the ages of 10 and 20. The findings highlighted the potential negative impact of technofence on adolescents' socioemotional well-being, pointing towards the significance of addressing this issue in family dynamics. In a related study, (McDaniel et al., 2018) investigated the longitudinal relationships between child behaviour problems, parent technology use, and parenting stress. The research revealed bidirectional relationships between these factors, emphasizing the role of parental stress in mediating the effects of technological interference on child behavior. Moreover, (McDaniel et al., 2019) investigated the daily technology interruptions and their impact on emotional and relational well-being. The study highlighted how frequent interruptions from technology can impact individuals' emotional and relational health, underscoring the need for managing technology use in daily life. Furthermore, (McDaniel et al., 2021) examined work-related technology at home and its implications for work-to-family spillover, feelings of overburden, life satisfaction, and job satisfaction. The study emphasised the potential negative effects of work-related technology interruptions on various aspects of individuals' well-being and satisfaction. Overall, the literature on technofence underscores the importance of understanding and addressing the impact of technology interruptions on various relationships and well-being outcomes. Further research is required to explore the complex dynamics of technofence and develop strategies to mitigate its negative effects.

## Methodology

This narrative review examines the influence of parental technofence on early childhood development and parent-child bonding by systematically searching peer-reviewed journals in databases such as PubMed, PsycINFO, and Scopus. We utilized keywords related to technofence and its effects on child development and bonding. Studies from 2010 to 2024 were selected based on their relevance to technofence's impact. Data from these studies were thematically analyzed to identify common effects on cognitive, emotional, and social development, as well as parent-child interactions. This review synthesizes current findings to provide a comprehensive overview of technofence's effects on early childhood.

## Prevalence of Technology use among Parents

The rise in popularity of technology, especially mobile devices, has had a significant impact on parenting. Parents utilize technology for a variety of things, such as keeping tabs on their kids' schooling and connecting with online parenting support groups. (Gonzalez-DeHass et al., 2022) investigated the ways in which technology helps parents remain aware about the education of their children, especially in the wake of the COVID-19 epidemic, when remote learning became crucial. According to the study, parents' use of digital platforms greatly aided in their capacity to help their kids during this trying time. But technology may also be a problem for parents, especially when it comes to having conscious conversations with their kids. The idea of technofence—where parents' use of mobile devices interferes with parent-child relationships and lowers the quality of attention given to children—is examined by Lippold, (McDaniel, and Jensen, 2022). The study highlights the value of mindful parenting, which is giving all of one's attention and being emotionally aware when interacting with one's child. Technology can improve parents' capacity to control their emotions and obtain social support, but it can also bring about distractions that sabotage these relationships (Lippold et al., 2022).

There are long-term effects on family dynamics as well. Research indicates that parents' continual use of technology can cause tension in the home, especially when kids feel like they're vying for their parents' attention by using their phones (Kalatzaki & Birtchnell, 2014). On the other hand, technology can be a great tool for parenting support when utilized properly to get professional guidance and keep an eye on kids' wellbeing.

### **Importance of Early Childhood Development and Parent-Child Bonding**

A child's long-term results and general well-being depend heavily on early childhood development and parent-child relationships. Studies reveal that language abilities in preterm infants are influenced by both biological and socioenvironmental factors, highlighting the significance of early intervention (Nguyen et al., 2019). Furthermore, the timely identification of mental health disorders in newborns can have a substantial impact on their development, both socially and emotionally, highlighting the need of parent-child cooperation in healthcare environments (Gordon et al., 2019).

The significance of mother interactions is further shown by the connection between early psychopathology and socioemotional functioning. Research indicates that the degree of continuity or discontinuity in childhood psychopathology is linked to a child's mother-child connection patterns, which in turn impacts the child's social development (Dollberg et al., 2020). Similarly, how parents view physical exercise and the development of motor skills in their preschool-aged children has a significant impact on how that child develops (Agard et al., 2021).

Early childhood education places a strong emphasis on emotional development, especially emotion management. The development of emotional and social abilities in children is greatly aided by teachers (Thümmeler et al., 2022). The significance of parenting practices is further shown by the fact that strong parent-child relationships also considerably boost cognitive development (Lanjekar et al., 2022). Notably, improved cognitive outcomes in preschoolers have been connected to maternal reflective function, or a mother's capacity to comprehend her child's emotions (Komanchuk et al., 2022).

The COVID-19 epidemic and other external stressors have harmed parent-child bonding. Research indicates that the pandemic's work-related stress eroded these ties, demonstrating how susceptible parent-child interactions are to outside influences (Koerber et al., 2023). The long-term advantages of early childhood engagement are further highlighted by the success of early interventions such dialogic book-sharing programs in fostering communication, socioemotional development, and improved parenting techniques (Loredana et al., 2024).

### **Background of the Study**

#### **Historical Context of Technology in Parenting**

Over time, the way that technology is used in parenting has changed dramatically in response to both societal changes and wider technological breakthroughs. In the past, parents who wanted parenting assistance depended on straightforward resources and techniques like books and radio shows. Television started to have a big impact on parenting techniques in the middle of the 20th century. Shows like Sesame Street and Mister Rogers' Neighborhood offered kid-friendly educational content and promoted parental participation in educational activities (Levine & Levine, 1996). For parents, the technical landscape underwent a significant change with the advent of personal computers and the internet in the late 20th century. The advent of the digital era in parenting began in the 1990s with the growth of online communities and message boards for parents to exchange experiences and advise (Plantin & Daneback, 2009). Virtual support networks were formed as a result of the quick sharing of parenting tools and knowledge made possible by these digital places.

Mobile gadgets, social networking, and parenting applications are now essential tools for contemporary parents in the twenty-first century. Due to the widespread use of smartphones, parents may now access a wealth of information at any time, including community assistance, educational materials, and health advice. Research indicates that parenting applications and social media platforms have developed into significant forums for peer assistance and knowledge sharing, influencing modern parenting techniques (Bartholomew et al., 2012). Additionally, wearable health trackers, AI-powered gadgets, and baby monitors have given parents new tools to keep an eye on and take care of their kids (Nelson, 2010). These resources have made it easier for parents to balance the demands of contemporary living with their involvement in their children's growth. But academics also warn against the possibility of relying too much on technology, stressing the need of keeping parents and kids in direct, emotionally charged relationships (Radesky et al., 2016).

### **Types of Technoference**

**Use of Smartphones:** The most often mentioned source of technological innovation is the smartphone. Studies demonstrate how using a smartphone, particularly when “phubbing”—ignoring someone in favour of a phone—disrupts relationships within the family and between spouses. According to research, couples who technoference—that is, use smartphones excessively—have higher levels of marital conflict. This can lead to poor co-parenting and a greater reliance on electronics by the kids. These results are consistent with ideas such as the spillover hypothesis (Shao T et al., 2022). This theory highlights how disturbances in one subsystem (e.g., couple relationships) impact other family dynamics (e.g., parenting).

**Use of Tablets and TVs:** Technology is not just for cellphones. Tablet use, which is common during meals or family events, can also cause disruptions to family dynamics and cause parents to pay less attention to their kids. Just as excessive TV watching can hinder meaningful family interactions, it can also lead to worse communication and strained relationships, even if it's a more passive kind of engagement (Mackay, L.J. et al., 2022).

### **Impact on Early Childhood Development Cognitive Development**

In the study of child development, there is increasing attention in the effects of parental technoference on early childhood development cognitive outcomes. Research has demonstrated that caregiving behaviors at nine months can be predicted by parental knowledge at birth, underscoring the significance of parental involvement and comprehension during a child's formative years (Leung et al., 2020). Zhao et al. (2020) have highlighted the necessity of stable parental presence in a child's life by pointing out that the cognitive impact of early separation from migrant parents has been established as a risk factor for children's cognitive development. Research indicates that parent education initiatives improve the development outcomes of children, especially in China's rural areas where kids are more likely to experience cognitive, linguistic, and social-emotional impairments (Emmers et al., 2021). However, it has been noted that parental media consumption patterns may have an impact on children's cognitive and emotional development, as evidenced by the use of electronic media by parents as a potential influence on early childhood development (Paulus et al., 2021). Moreover, negative childhood experiences of parents have been connected to developmental delays in their offspring, highlighting the cross-generational influence of parental experiences on children's cognitive outcomes (Miccoli et al., 2022). The significance of parental mental health in influencing children's cognitive development is further demonstrated by the correlation between parental anxiety disorders and lifetime psychopathology in kids (Quagliato et al., 2022). The environmental factors that can impact cognitive development are highlighted by the

negative correlation between neighborhood air pollution and neurocognitive maturation in early adolescence (Kardan et al., 2023). However, research on multi-component parenting and parental mental health interventions has shown positive effects on early childhood development outcomes, highlighting the significance of holistic approaches in supporting children's cognitive growth (Sager et al., 2024). Conclusively, the examined research emphasizes the intricate interaction between parental characteristics, environmental influences, and treatments on the cognitive development of young children. To maximize early childhood cognitive development, a thorough analysis of parents knowledge, behaviors, mental health, and environmental circumstances is necessary to comprehend the effects of parental technoference on children's cognitive outcomes.

### **Impact on Early Childhood Development**

#### **Social-Emotional Development**

The creation of the Cheshire Social-Emotional Engagement and Development (SEED) Educational Program was covered by (Blewitt et al., 2020), who emphasized the significance of promoting good mental health in early childhood education settings. Furthermore, in order to investigate the impact of curriculum-based social and emotional learning programs on teacher outcomes in early childhood education and care, (Blewitt et al., 2020) carried out a thorough literature analysis. Additionally, (Xie et al., 2022) looked into the connection between young children's social-emotional development and learning and the emotional labor of Chinese instructors as well as their efficacy in the classroom. The study made clear how important it is to provide teachers with specialized instructions and training in order to support young children's social-emotional development. The effects of attending early childhood education centers on Latin American toddlers' social-emotional development, communication, and regulation abilities were assessed by (Gago-Galvagno et al., 2022). Furthermore, Islam et al. (2022) examined the relationship between maternal parity and the development of young children in Bangladesh, highlighting the significance of maternal variables in determining the developmental outcomes of children. Additionally, (Mohammed et al., 2023) investigated the effects of learning opportunities, early stimulation, and caregiver-child interactions on the literacy-numeracy and social-emotional areas of early childhood development in Ghana. According to the body of research, fostering social-emotional growth in young children is essential for successful developmental outcomes. Early social-emotional development in children is greatly influenced by a range of interventions, programs, and factors, including interactions between caregivers and children, mother parity, and teacher preparation. References: Blewitt et al., 2020 - (Xie et al., 2022). (Gago-Galvagno et al., 2022). - (Islam et al., 2022). - (Muhammad et al., 2023)

### **Impact on Early Childhood Development**

#### **Physical Development**

The impact of childhood trauma on physical and psychological health, sleep quality, and autonomic function in young adults has been a subject of interest in recent research (Jessica Elise Beilharz et al., 2019). Early adversity has been shown to shape the stress system, particularly the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) axis, which plays a crucial role in stress reactivity and regulation during human development (Melissa L Engel & Megan R Gunnar, 2019). Negative self-referential processing has been identified as a potential mediator between childhood maltreatment and symptoms of depression during times of stress (Ellen Jopling et al., 2020). Additionally, the prevention and early treatment of otitis media, a common hearing impairment in Australian Aboriginal children, can significantly impact early childhood development, including language, cognitive, communication skills, and physical health and wellbeing (Jiunn-Yih Su et al., 2020). Early

childhood educators also play a vital role in supporting children's social and emotional development, with various approaches used to encourage these skills within the preschool environment (C. Blewitt et al., 2021). The use of electronic media in early childhood has been a topic of interest, with research focusing on its impact on psychosocial and emotional development, cognition and language, motor development, nutrition, sleep, and the influence of parental media consumption (Frank W Paulus et al., 2021). Furthermore, the associations between childhood maltreatment and educational, health, and economic outcomes among middle-aged individuals have been explored, with relative poverty playing a moderating role in these associations (Xiaodong Zheng et al., 2021). Studies have also examined the impact of early life exposures on chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in adulthood, highlighting the importance of understanding how different early life factors can influence long-term health outcomes. Additionally, research has investigated the Early Childhood Development Index (ECDI) of children in Bangladesh and its association with maternal parity, emphasizing the significance of maternal factors in early childhood development (M Mofizul Islam & Md Nuruzzaman Khan, 2022).

### **Mechanisms of Impact: Disrupted Communication Patterns & Reduced Face-to-Face Interaction**

Many facets of human behavior and well-being have been found to be significantly impacted by altered communication patterns and less face-to-face engagement. Regular use of digital gadgets like laptops, televisions, and cellphones has been connected to mental health problems such as elevated stress and anxiety (Nakshine et al., 2022). This dependence on technology may cause problems for teams and work groups in terms of coordination and communication, which could ultimately have an impact on performance results (Kozlowski, S. W. J., & Ilgen, D. R. 2006). Furthermore, adolescent development may suffer from social deprivation, which reduces opportunities for in-person interactions with peers (Orben A et al., 2020). According to Morrison-Smith and Ruiz (2020), virtual teams that depend on computer-mediated communication (CMC) rather than in-person engagement may see a decline in positive affect and decreased collaboration. Digital technology and social media undoubtedly alter cognitive capacities; yet, their influence on social relationships and communication patterns cannot be disregarded. The composition of grasslands can also be impacted by the interplay between grazing practices and climate change, underscoring the significance of comprehending and reducing these consequences. Chatbots are being used for communication duties, which presents challenges for technology improvements in terms of how to handle the disruptive impacts on human relationships (Shanmugasundaram M and Tamilarasu A, 2023).

### **Interventions and Recommendations Strategies for Mindful Technology Use**

In order to lessen technological interference and its detrimental impacts on children's development, parents might practice mindful technology use. Setting up "tech time-outs," when electronics are purposefully put away during important times of family contact, is one useful strategy. Using applications that track and limit screen usage or establishing personal rules like not using phones during meals, playtime, or bedtime routines, parents should also exercise tech self-regulation. Parents can help their children develop healthier tech habits by demonstrating balanced technology use.

### **Parental Education Programs**

Programs for parent education are essential in bringing attention to the harm that technology interference does to children's development. Programs can give parents useful skills and techniques

for striking a balance between using technology and spending time with their kids. These courses ought to stress the value of meaningful social engagement for the development of emotional, cognitive, and language skills while providing advice on how to establish limits on the use of electronics at home.

### **Technology-Free Time and Spaces**

Technoference can be decreased by setting apart specific hours and areas of the house for technology use. Families should establish “no-tech zones,” like dining rooms or bedrooms, and make a commitment to spending time without electronics, particularly when eating, getting ready for bed, and spending time together. These methods facilitate the development of language, stronger emotional bonds, and more positive behavioral interactions.

### **Policy Implications**

Interventions at the policy level have to center on promoting parental education and awareness regarding the responsible use of technology. Legislators should promote work-life policies and discourage after-hours work communication, which frequently results in greater technoference. In early childhood development settings, educational campaigns can also emphasize the value of unbroken parent-child engagement and encourage safe digital habits.

### **Conclusion**

To sum everything up, parental technoference affects early childhood development in a significant and complex way. Frequent use of devices like smartphones and tablets disrupts parent-child relationships, which are crucial for social, emotional, and cognitive development of the children. It detracts from the frequency and quality of important face-to-face encounters that are necessary for normal emotional and developmental growth, which in turn impacts the quality of parent-child connections. In order to address these problems, parents must become more conscious of how technology affects family dynamics and put technoference-reduction techniques into practice. One way to lessen these negative effects is to encourage technology-free interactions and mindful technology use. Furthermore, to better understand the effects of technoference and create successful interventions, future research should concentrate on longitudinal studies in a variety of cultural situations. Families must establish responsible technology use habits in order to lessen these harmful effects. To promote positive parent-child interactions, parents should make deliberate, device-free time a priority during important times of interaction, such as meals, playtime, and bedtime routines. Family-based tech usage rules, including set aside times or places, can aid in striking a balance between the advantages of technology and the importance of parental involvement in a child's growth. Parents may guarantee that their young children receive the attention and response they require to flourish emotionally, socially, and cognitively by encouraging mindful technology use. In the end, maintaining healthy, supportive parent-child connections and promoting optimal early childhood development depend on striking a balance when it comes to technology use in the home.

### **References**

1. Adam M. Galovan; Michelle Drouin; Brandon T. McDaniel; “Sexting Profiles in The United States and Canada: Implications for Individual and Relationship Well-being”, *COMPUT. HUM. BEHAV.*, 2018.
2. Agnes von Wyl; Larissa Schneebeli; Rahel Hubacher; Katrin Braune-Krickau; “[Kindergarten Children's Use of Smartphones and Tablets: Associations with Social-Emotional Development and Behavioral Problems - A Scoping Review]”, *Praxis Der Kinderpsychologie Und Kinderpsychiatrie*, 2022.



3. Bartholomew, M. K., Schoppe-Sullivan, S. J., Glassman, M., Kamp Dush, C. M., & Sullivan, J. M. (2012). New Parents' Facebook Use at the Transition to Parenthood. *Family Relations*, 61(3), 455-469.
4. Becky Agard; Nan Zeng; Morgan L McCloskey; Susan L Johnson; Laura L Bellows; "Moving Together: Understanding Parent Perceptions Related to Physical Activity and Motor Skill Development in Preschool Children", *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public ...*, 2021.
5. Bernstein, E. S., & Turban, S. (2018). The impact of the 'open' workspace on human collaboration. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences*, 373(1753), 20170239. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2017.0239>
6. Brandon T McDaniel; Adam M Galovan; Jaclyn D Cravens; Michelle Drouin; ""Technoference" And Implications For Mothers' And Fathers' Couple and Coparenting Relationship Quality", *Computers in Human Behavior*, 2017. (IF: 4)
7. Brandon T McDaniel; Jenny S Radesky; "Technoference: Longitudinal Associations Between Parent Technology Use, Parenting Stress, and Child Behavior Problems", *Pediatric Research*, 2018.
8. Brandon T McDaniel; Jenny S Radesky; "Technoference: Parent Distraction With Technology And Associations With Child Behavior Problems", *Child Development*, 2017.
9. Brandon T McDaniel; Michelle Drouin; "Daily Technology Interruptions And Emotional And Relational Well-Being", *Computers in Human Behavior*, 2019.
10. Brandon T. McDaniel; E. Wesselmann; ""You Phubbed Me for That?" Reason Given for Phubbing and perceptions of Interactional Quality and Exclusion", *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, 2021.
11. Brandon T. McDaniel; Kimberly O'Connor; Michelle Drouin; "Work-related Technoference at Home and Feelings of Work Spillover, Overload, Life Satisfaction and Job Satisfaction".
12. Brandon T. McDaniel; S. Coyne; "Technoference": The Interference of Technology in Couple Relationships and Implications for Women's Personal and Relational Well-being", *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, 2016.
13. C. Blewitt; Amanda O'Connor; Heather Morris; A. Nolan; A. Mousa; R. Green; A. Ifanti; Kylie L Jackson; H. Skouteris; ""It's Embedded in What We Do for Every Child": A Qualitative Exploration of Early Childhood Educators' Perspectives on Supporting Children's Social and Emotional Learning", *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public*, 20.
14. C. Blewitt; Heather Morris; Kylie L Jackson; Helen Barrett; Heidi J Bergmeier; Amanda O'Connor; A. Mousa; A. Nolan; H. Skouteris; "Integrating Health and Educational Perspectives to Promote Preschoolers' Social and Emotional Learning: Development of A Multi-Faceted Program Using An Intervention Mapping Approach", *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public*, 2020.
15. Cena Loredana; Trainini Alice; Murray Lynne; Cooper Peter; Calza Stefano; Belluardo Mauro; "Early Parent-child Intervention with Dialogic Book-Sharing: Effects on Child Communicative and Socio-emotional Development and on Parenting. Study Protocol for A Multicentre Randomised Controlled Trial in Italy", *TRIALS*, 2024.
16. Claire Blewitt; Amanda O'Connor; Heather Morris; Aya Mousa; Heidi Bergmeier; Andrea Nolan; Kylie Jackson; Helen Barrett; Helen Skouteris; "Do Curriculum-Based Social and Emotional Learning Programs in Early Childhood Education and Care Strengthen Teacher Outcomes? a Systematic Literature Review", *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public*, 2020.



17. Daphna Ginio Dollberg; Miri Keren; “Factors Contributing to Continuity and Discontinuity in Child Psychopathology from Infancy to Childhood: An Explorative Study”, *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 2020.
18. Dorien Emmers; Qi Jiang; Hao Xue; Yue Zhang; Yunting Zhang; Yingxue Zhao; Bin Liu; Sarah-Eve Dill; Yiwei Qian; Nele Warrinnier; Hannah Johnstone; Jianhua Cai; Xiaoli Wang; Lei Wang; Renfu Luo; Guirong Li; Jiajia Xu; Ming Liu; Yaqing Huang; Wenjie Shan; Zhihui Li; Yu Zhang; Sean Sylvia; Yue Ma; Alexis Medina; Scott Rozelle; “Early Childhood Development and Parental Training Interventions in Rural China: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis”, *BMJ Global Health*, 2021.
19. Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M., Koohang, A., Raghavan, V., Ahuja, M., Albanna, H., Albashrawi, M. A., Al-Busaidi, A. S., Balakrishnan, J., Barlette, Y., Basu, S., Bose, I., Brooks, L., Buhalis, D., Wright, R. (2023). Opinion Paper: “So what if ChatGPT wrote it?” Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71, 102642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642>
20. Ellen Jopling; Alison Tracy; Joelle LeMoult; “Childhood Maltreatment, Negative Self-Referential Processing, and Depressive Symptoms During Stress”, *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, 2020.
21. Eunsoo Timothy Kim; Tobias Opiyo; Pauline S Acayo; Margaret Lillie; John Gallis; Yunji Zhou; Michael Ochieng; Samwel Okuro; John Hembling; Elena McEwan; Joy Noel Baumgartner; “Effect of A Lay Counselor Delivered Integrated Maternal Mental Health and Early Childhood Development Group-based Intervention in Siaya County, Kenya: A Quasi-experimental Longitudinal Study”, *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 2021.
22. Frank W Paulus; Eva Möhler; Franziska Recktenwald; Amélie Albert; Volker Mall; “Electronic Media and Early Childhood: A Review”, *Klinische Padiatrie*, 2021.
23. Funda Eda Tonga; Feyza Tantekin Erde; “Mindfulness: Views of Turkish Pre- Service Early Childhood Teachers”, 2020.
24. Gonzalez-DeHass, A. R., Willems, P. P., Powers, J. R., & Musgrove, A. T. (2022). Parental involvement in supporting students’ digital learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 57(4), 281–294. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2022.2129647>.
25. Jelena Komanchuk; Deborah Dewey; Gerald F Giesbrecht; Martha Hart; Lubna Anis; Henry Ntanda; Judy L Cameron; Nicole Letourneau; “Association Between Maternal Reflective Function and Preschool Children’s Cognitive Abilities”, *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2022.
26. Jessica Elise Beilharz; Marlee Paterson; Scott Fatt; Chloe Wilson; Alexander Burton; Erin Cvejic; Andrew Lloyd; Uté Vollmer-Conna; “The Impact Of Childhood Trauma on Psychosocial Functioning and Physical Health in a Non-clinical Community Sample of Young Adults”, *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 2019.
27. Jessica M Gordon; Kathy Gaffney; Sharlene Smith; Joy A Lauerer; “An Illustrative Case for Addressing Infant Mental Health Referrals”, *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing*, 2019.
28. Jiunn-Yih Su; Steven Guthridge; Vincent Y He; Damien Howard; Amanda J Leach; “Impact of Hearing Impairment on Early Childhood Development in Australian Aboriginal Children: A Data Linkage Study”, *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health*, 2020
29. Julie Kellershohn; Keith Walley; Bettina West; Frank Vriesekoop; “Young Consumers in Fast Food Restaurants: Technology, Toys and Family Time”, *Young Consumers: Insight and Ideas for Responsible Marketers*, 2018.

30. Kalatzaki, A. E., & Birtchnell, J. (2014). The impact of early parenting bonding on young adults' Internet addiction. *Addictive Behaviors*, 39, 733–736. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2013.09.003>.
31. Kozlowski, S. W. J., & Ilgen, D. R. (2006). Enhancing the Effectiveness of Work Groups and Teams. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 7(3), 77-124. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-1006.2006.00030.x>.
32. Laura Stockdale; Sarah M. Coyne; Laura M. Padilla-Walker; “Parent and Child Technoference and Socioemotional Behavioral Outcomes: A Nationally Representative Study of 10- to 20-year-Old Adolescents”, *Comput. Hum. Behav.*, 2018.
33. Levine, R. & Levine, E. (1996). Mister Rogers’ Neighborhood and Parent-Child Relationships. *Journal of Educational Television*, 22(1), 1-16.
34. Lippold, M. A., McDaniel, B. T., & Jensen, T. M. (2022). Mindful parenting and parent technology use: Examining the intersections and outlining future research directions. *Social Sciences*, 11(2), 43. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci11020043>.
35. Lucas G. Gago-Galvagno; S. E. Miller; M. C. De Grandis; Angel M. Elgier; “Latin American Early Childhood Education and Social Vulnerability Links to Toddlers’ Executive Function and Early Communication (La Educación Temprana En Latinoamérica Y Las Relaciones De La Vulnerabilidad Social Con Las Funciones Ejecutivas Y La Comunicación Temprana En La Primera Infancia)”, *Journal for the Study of Education and Development*, 2022.
36. M Mofizul Islam; Md Nuruzzaman Khan; “Early Childhood Development and Its Association with Maternal Parity”, *Child: Care, Health and Development*, 2022. (IF: 3).
37. M Mofizul Islam; Md Nuruzzaman Khan; “Early Childhood Development and Its Association with Maternal Parity”, *Child: Care, Health and Development*, 2022.
38. Mackay, L.J., Komanchuk, J., Hayden, K.A. et al. Impacts of parental technoference on parent-child relationships and child health and developmental outcomes: a scoping review protocol. *Syst Rev* 11, 45 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-022-01918-3>
39. Markus H. Schafer; Matthew A. Andersson; “Looking Homeward with The Life Course: Early Origins of Adult Dwelling Satisfaction?”, *Advances in Life Course Research*, 2020.
40. Melissa L Engel; Megan R Gunnar; “The Development of Stress Reactivity and Regulation During Human Development”, *International Review of Neurobiology*, 2019.
41. Mirjam I Koerber; Judith T Mack; Lara Seefeld; Marie Kopp; Victoria Weise; Karla Romero Starke; Susan Garthus-Niegel; “Psychosocial Work Stress and Parent-child Bonding During The COVID-19 Pandemic: Clarifying the Role of Parental Symptoms of Depression and Aggressiveness”, *BMC Public Health*, 2023.
42. Morrison-Smith, S., Ruiz, J. Challenges and barriers in virtual teams: A literature review. *SN Appl. Sci.* 2, 1096 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-020-2801-5>.
43. Nakshine VS, Thute P, Khatib MN, Sarkar B. Increased Screen Time as a Cause of Declining Physical, Psychological Health, and Sleep Patterns: A Literary Review. *Cureus*. 2022 Oct 8;14(10):e30051. doi: 10.7759/cureus.30051. PMID: 36381869; PMCID: PMC9638701.
44. Nelson, M. K. (2010). *Parenting out of control: Anxious parents in uncertain times*. New York University Press.
45. Orben A, Tomova L, Blakemore SJ. The effects of social deprivation on adolescent development and mental health. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2020 Aug;4(8):634-640. doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30186-3. Epub 2020 Jun 12. PMID: 32540024; PMCID: PMC7292584.
46. Pengfei Duan; Yao Wang; Rong-wu Lin; Yiming Zeng; Chengshui Chen; Li Yang; Minghui Yue; Shan Zhong; Yun Wang; Qingying Zhang; “Impact of Early Life Exposures on COPD in Adulthood: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis”, *Respirology*, 2021.

47. Plantin, L. & Daneback, K. (2009). Parenthood, Information, and Support on the Internet. *Sociology Compass*, 3(5), 801-817.
48. Purva D Lanjekar; Shiv H Joshi; Puja D Lanjekar; Vasant Wagh; “The Effect of Parenting and The Parent-Child Relationship on A Child’s Cognitive Development: A Literature Review”, *CUREUS*, 2022. (IF: 3)
49. Radesky, J., Schumacher, J., & Zuckerman, B. (2016). Mobile and Interactive Media Use by Young Children: The Good, the Bad, and the Unknown. *Pediatrics*, 135(1), 1-3.
50. Ramona Thümmeler; Eva-Maria Engel; Janieta Bartz; “Strengthening Emotional Development and Emotion Regulation in Childhood-As A Key Task in Early Childhood Education”, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public*, 2022.
51. Sha Xie; Dandan Wu; Hui Li; “The Relationship Between Chinese Teachers’ Emotional Labor, Teaching Efficacy, and Young Children’s Social-Emotional Development and Learning”, *Sustainability*, 2022.
52. Shamsudeen Mohammed; Agani Afaya; Alhassan Sibdow Abukari; “Reading, Singing, and Storytelling: The Impact of Caregiver-child Interaction and Child Access to Books and Preschool on Early Childhood Development in Ghana”, *Scientific Reports*, 2023.
53. Shanmugasundaram M and Tamilarasu A (2023) The impact of digital technology, social media, and artificial intelligence on cognitive functions: A review. *Front. Cognit.* 2:1203077. doi: 10.3389/fcogn.2023.
54. Shao T, Zhu C, Quan X, Wang H, Zhang C. The Relationship of Technoference in Conjugal Interactions and Child Smartphone Dependence: The Chain Mediation between Marital Conflict and Coparenting. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2022; 19(17):10949. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191710949>.
55. Thi-Nhu-Ngoc Nguyen; Megan Spencer-Smith; Leona Pascoe; Karli Treyvaud; Katherine J Lee; Deanne K Thompson; Jeanie L Y Cheong; Lex W Doyle; Peter J Anderson; “Language Skills In Children Born Preterm (<30 Wks’ Gestation) Throughout Childhood: Associations With Biological And Socioenvironmental Factors”, *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics: JDBP*, 2019.
56. Xiaodong Zheng; Zuyi Fang; Shuangyue Shangguan; Xiangming Fang; “Associations Between Childhood Maltreatment and Educational, Health and Economic Outcomes Among Middle-aged Chinese: The Moderating Role of Relative Poverty”, *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 2021.