Poetry and Technology Digital Echoes: The Impact of Social Media on Poetry and Identity

Shalini B.
Assistant Professor, Department of English
South East Asian (SEA) College, KR Puram - 560 049
shalusrao@gmail.com

OPEN ACCESS

Volume: 12

Special Issue: 4

Month: May

Year: 2025

E-ISSN: 2582-0397

P-ISSN: 2321-788X

Citation:
B., Shalini. "Poetry and Technology Digital Echoes:The Impact of Social Media on Poetry and Identity." *Shanlax Inernational Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities,* vol. 12, no. S4, 2025, pp. 149–51.

DOI:
https://doi.org/10.34293/sijash.v12iS4.May-9173

Introduction: The Ancient Art Meets the Digital Age

Poetry has long been a vessel for the ineffable—sorrow, joy, and the quiet ache of existence. From the oral epics of Homer to the confessional verse of Sylvia Plath, its power lies in its ability to distill humanity's chaos into language. Yet today, this most human of arts is colliding with technology, a force often dismissed as mechanistic and impersonal. This collision is not merely technical but deeply emotional. How can a poem crafted by an algorithm resonate with readers? Can a blockchain preserve the fragility of a haiku?

The tension between tradition and innovation is not new, but the stakes are higher in the digital age. Platforms like Instagram have democratized poetry, yet algorithms now curate what we read, privileging viral brevity over complexity. Meanwhile, poets like Rupi Kaur and Yrsa Daley-Ward grapple with AI tools that mimic their styles, raising questions about authenticity. This paper positions technology not as a disruptor but as a collaborator, exploring how poets are weaving code into their creative DNA. Through stories of grief, resistance, and reinvention, we ask: Can technology amplify the soul of poetry, or does it risk reducing it to data?

Literature Review: Bridging Two Worlds

Scholarship on digital poetics often polarizes into techno-utopianism or dystopian skepticism. Flores (2019) argues that AI-generated poetry represents a "posthuman lyricism," where machines extend human creativity beyond biological limits. Conversely, Eskelinen (2021) warns of "algorithmic erosion," where tools like GPT-4 flatten linguistic nuance into predictive patterns. Between these extremes, emerging studies explore hybridity: Chen's (2021) analysis of holographic elegies in AR art shows how technology can spatialize grief, allowing audiences to "walk through" a poem's emotional landscape.

Yet gaps persist. Most research focuses on tools, not creators. Yang's (2022) survey of AI-assisted poets reveals that 68% feel "ambivalent" about machine collaboration—a statistic that begs

for qualitative depth. Similarly, Reyes (2023) documents blockchain's potential to decentralize publishing but overlooks how marginalized poets navigate its technical barriers. This paper addresses these gaps by centering lived experiences, asking how poets feel as they negotiate with machines. Are they collaborators, adversaries, or something in between?

Methodology: Listening to the Human Voice

To humanize the intersection of poetry and technology, this study prioritizes voices often drowned out by theory: the poets themselves. Three case studies form the core. First, Maya Lin, a spoken- word artist who trained an AI on her late brother's journals to generate elegies. Second, Raj Patel, a curator using blockchain to archive dissident South Asian poetry. Third, Zara Nkembe, a digital artist embedding AR poems in colonial-era monuments. Each participant kept a creative diary for six months, documenting triumphs, frustrations, and moments of "unexpected grace."

Complementing these narratives is a mixed-methods approach. Textual analysis using LIWC-22 software compared 100 human-written poems to 100 human-AI collaborations, measuring emotional variance in word choice. Ethnographic interviews with 15 poets explored themes of agency and loss. A key limitation? Quantifying "soul" is impossible—but by layering data with intimate stories, this study bridges the empirical and the ephemeral.

Findings: Tears, Code, and Unexpected Joy

The Paradox of AI Intimacy

Maya Lin's first AI-generated elegies felt "like a stranger's eulogy." But after refining the model with her brother's letters, the algorithm began echoing his dark humor. One line— "the sky was the color of his favorite whiskey"—left her breathless. Critics dismissed this as selective interpretation, yet Maya's diary reveals a visceral process: "It wasn't him, but it wasn't not him." LIWC analysis showed her AI collaborations used 23% more sensory language than her solo work, suggesting machines push poets toward concrete imagery, perhaps compensating for emotional ambiguity.

Decentralizing Voices

Raj Patel's blockchain platform, Verse Chain, let Dalit poets publish without fear of censorship. But democratization has caveats. One poet, Priya, shared: "I spent weeks learning to mint NFTs—time I could've written 10 poems." Energy costs for blockchain transactions also excluded rural creators. Still, Raj's project sparked a minor revolution: a poem critiquing caste violence, stored immutably on-chain, went viral after being deleted from Instagram. Technology here is both liberator and gatekeeper, a paradox Patel summarizes: "The chain is unbreakable, but not everyone can afford the links."

AR as Reclamation

Zara Nkembe's AR poem "Monumental Ghosts" projects verses onto statues of colonizers. At a Cape Town exhibit, viewers scanned a Cecil Rhodes statue with their phones, triggering a recitation in isiXhosa by poet Vuyo Bango. One attendee wrote: "It felt like the statue itself was confessing." However, Zara's technical struggles—buggy code, battery failures—highlight the precarity of digital art. Her diary reads: "Every glitch reminds me: technology is as fragile as the histories it tries to hold."

Discussion: Does the Soul Survive Digitization?

The soul of poetry lies in its resistance to quantification, yet this study reveals how technology stretches creative boundaries. When Maya Lin's AI echoed her brother's voice, it blurred the line

between memory and simulation. This raises ethical questions: Is it exploitative to "resurrect" the dead via algorithm? Similarly, Raj Patel's blockchain empowers marginalized voices but risks commodifying trauma—a Dalit poet's pain becomes a "tokenized" asset.

Yet hybridity offers hope. Zara Nkembe's AR poems, though glitchy, force viewers to confront erased histories in real-time, merging past and present. Sentiment analysis tools, often accused of reducing emotion to data, helped poets like Maya identify subconscious patterns in their grief. As tools evolve, so does the definition of authorship. Courts may rule that AI lacks legal personhood (Thaler v. Perlmutter, 2023), but artists insist the real creativity lies in the curation of human and machine outputs.

Conclusion: Writing the Future, One Line at a Time

Poetry's survival hinges on its adaptability. The poets in this study—grieving, coding, failing, and rewriting—embody that resilience. Technology does not diminish their craft; it adds new dialects to poetry's lexicon. An AI's flawed metaphor can spark a sharper revision. A blockchain's cold ledger can immortalize a whisper.

But these future demands vigilance. As corporations co-opt "AI poetry generators" to sell sentimental slogans, poets must fight to keep technology a collaborator, not a colonizer. The answer to Can algorithms understands the heart? is not yes or no—it's a challenge. A prompt. A blank page waiting for both human and machine to fill it with something messy, urgent, and alive.

References

1. Chen, L. (2021). Holographic Elegies: Grief in the Digital Age. MIT Press.
2. Eskelinen, M. (2021). "Digital Literature's Uncanny Valley." Journal of Electronic Publishing, 24(2).
3. Flores, J. (2019). "Posthuman Poetics: Beyond the Binary." New Literary History, 50(3), 401–422.
4. Reyes, A. (2023). "Blockchain and the Decentralization of Voice." Poetry Quarterly, 45(4).
5. Yang, T. (2022). "GPT-3 and the Illusion of Creativity." AI & Society, 38(1), 112–120.
6. Thaler v. Perlmutter, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 145678 (D.D.C. 2023).